# AB 900 AND SB 81 LOCAL COUNTY PROJECTS

## CAPITAL OUTLAY AND STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD GUIDELINES



Prepared By: California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Finance, Administration and Support Services Division Revised December 2010

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction	1
Real Estate Due Diligence	4
Review of Cash and In-Kind Match	5
Development of State Public Works Board Project Scope	6
Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate)	8
Development of Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate) Design-Bid-Build	. 9
Development of Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate) Design-Build	12
Development of Project Schedule	15
State Public Works Boards Agenda Items	16
Scope Changes	21
Acronyms	23
General Definitions	24

# INTRODUCTION

#### INTRODUCTION

In order to use the State Public Works Board's (SPWB) lease revenue financing method county projects are considered state projects and are subject to the same oversight as other state capital outlay projects. This oversight is largely in place to ensure that the project ultimately completed is the same project initially authorized.

The following guidelines are designed to provide a better understanding of the tasks necessary for projects financed through the Assembly Bill (AB) 900 Jail Financing Program and Senate Bill (SB) 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Financing Program to proceed through the state capital outlay/SPWB process. The specific approvals the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) is required to seek on behalf of each county project from the SPWB and/or Department of Finance (Finance) have been broken down by task. This process has been outlined with the type of documents that will be required and a brief description of each document, including samples of those documents.

This booklet is largely focused on the first step in the SPWB process of establishing the project's scope, cost, and schedule at the SPWB. Prior to establishment of the project, the state will review the county project in some detail to ensure the project is compatible with lease revenue financing. Subsequent steps in the state capital outlay process largely refine or build upon the information developed at the initial stage.

Listed below are the tasks required to proceed with financing a design-bid-build project:

- Establish Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - At this stage the Project Delivery and Construction Agreement (PDCA), the Certificate of Matching Funds, and the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) Construction Agreement are executed.
- Approve Resolution Authorizing Interim Financing (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - o This resolution is required to allow CDCR and SPWB staff to request an interim financing loan for the project.
- Approve Preliminary Plans (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - o This step is to ensure the scope of the project after design development is consistent with the scope initially established.
- Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - At this stage the legal metes and bounds of the project site are established and leased to the state. Concurrently, the state provides the county the right to enter the site to construct the facility. The Ground Lease is recorded to title and is the foundation for the lease revenue financing arrangement.
- Pooled Money Investment Board Loan Request (signed by CDCR and SPWB)
  - o The Pooled Money Investment Board is the primary source of interim financing for lease revenue financed projects.
- Approve Working Drawings (Finance action)
  - o This step ensures the final construction documents are consistent with the scope approved when preliminary plans were approved.

- Proceed to Bid (Finance action)
  - o This step is generally concurrent with the approval of working drawings. Any bid alternates must be approved as well.
- Award Construction Contract (Finance action)
  - o Finance approves the award of the construction contract. If bids are received greater than the pre-bid estimate, a further review of the Cash Match will be necessary prior to approval to award. The SPWB may take an action to recognize the as-bid cost of the project, but this SPWB action would occur after the contract is awarded.

Listed below are the tasks required to proceed with financing a **design-build** project:

- Establish Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - o At this stage the Project Delivery and Construction Agreement (PDCA), the Certificate of Matching Funds, and the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) Construction Agreement are executed.
- Approve Resolution Authorizing Interim Financing (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - o This resolution is required to allow CDCR and SPWB staff to request an interim financing loan for the project.
- Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - At this stage the legal metes and bounds of the project site are established and leased to the state. Concurrently, the state provides the county the right to enter the site to construct the facility. The Ground Lease is recorded to title and is the foundation for the lease revenue financing arrangement.
- Approve Performance Criteria or Performance Criteria and Concept Drawings (SPWB Agenda Item)
  - This step is to ensure the performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings for the project is consistent with the scope initially established.
- Pooled Money Investment Board Loan Request (signed by CDCR and SPWB)
  - The Pooled Money Investment Board is the primary source of interim financing for lease revenue financed projects.
- Request for Proposal/Proceed to Bid (Finance action)
  - o This step generally occurs within a week or two of the approval of performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings.
- Award Design-Build Contract (Finance action)
  - o Finance approves the award of the design-build contract. If bids are received greater than the pre-bid estimate, a further review of the Cash Match will be necessary prior to approval to award. The SPWB may take an action to recognize the as-bid cost of the project, but this SPWB action would occur after the contract is awarded.

The first SPWB task/action is the Establishment of Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule. The major milestones for Establishment of Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule are listed below:

- Real Estate Due Diligence Memo from the Department of General Services (DGS) to Finance
- Review and approval of Cash and In-Kind Match

- Development of SPWB Project Scope
- Development of Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate)
- Development of Project Schedule
- Execution of Agreements (PDCA, Certificate of Matching Funds, CSA Construction Agreement, and Reentry Option Agreement-if necessary). Copies of the agreements can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/Divisions\_Boards/CSA/CFC/AB900\_Program.html for AB 900 county projects and at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/CSA/CFC/SB81">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/CSA/CFC/SB81</a> program.html for SB 81 projects. Please note that the Reentry requirement is only for AB 900 county projects.

Throughout this booklet reference is made to timeframes (e.g. 2 weeks) following a specific task the state will perform. This is intended as a guide to let counties know that, at the point a document is received by a specified state entity, performance of the task will take up to that amount of time.

Counties should recognize that the SPWB process was created for the financing and control of the state's capital outlay projects, and includes recognition of and reporting on a broad range of project components and events. In no way does the SPWB process negate the requirements, stipulations or commitments contained in the CSA process from Request for Proposal (REP) through construction and operation of the facility. This includes the county's project proposal upon which the CSA Board granted a conditional award, CSA's Title 15 regulations for the construction award process, and any other regulatory, legal or guiding document provided by the CSA. This includes eligible versus ineligible project costs (for claiming state dollars and documenting specified match) and the scope of work to which was in the county's project proposal to CSA and which subsequently was the basis for the conditional award of state financing for the construction of the facility.

# REAL ESTATE DUE DILIGENCE

#### **REAL ESTATE DUE DILIGENCE**

Real Estate Due Diligence is required for every state capital outlay project. Because the county projects are financed as state capital outlay projects, they are subject to this same requirement. This process is intended to ensure that the project site is free from liens, encumbrances, or other rights that would interfere with development and financing of the project.

The CDCR Asset Management Branch (AMB) will coordinate with each county the submission of the due diligence package for the county parcel. Assistance can be provided by AMB at the request of the county.

The county is responsible for submitting 2 sets of Due Diligence documents in separate 3-ring white binders with tabs separating each section according to the Due Diligence Package Submission Checklist (Attachment 1). This information is submitted to:

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Planning, Acquisition and Design Division Asset Management Branch 9838 Old Placerville Road, Suite B Sacramento, CA 95827

After the AMB receives a complete package of Due Diligence documents, CDCR will request the DGS to review the package and send a memo to SPWB summarizing the Due Diligence review. The DGS will directly bill the county for these services.

The AMB will review the binders according to the Due Diligence Package Submission Checklist and will notify the county of any deficiencies (approximately 2 weeks). After this review and when all documents have been received, AMB will submit package to the DGS for review (approximately 1 week).

The DGS will review the county's Due Diligence Package and submit a written report to SPWB staff and the county summarizing its findings and identifying any outstanding issues (approximately 6-8 weeks).

The DGS will attempt to clear minor property rights and other real estate Due Diligence issues during its review process. Clearing substantial issues such as those requiring abandonment or relocation of existing road/utility rights-of-way, recordation of correction deeds to perfect title, establishment of the property's boundary lines, quit claiming surface or mineral rights, quit claiming interests held by outside parties, and condemnation actions is the county's responsibility. The DGS is available on a fee for service basis to provide issue mitigation support.

Depending upon the nature of the issue, it may be possible under certain conditions, to proceed with the project while mitigating an outstanding issue. This will be as directed by SPWB staff on a case-by-case basis. However, all outstanding issues will need mitigation prior to the submission of the Ground Lease for SPWB approval.

# PACKAGE SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

This checklist is intended for use as a guide when researching for and preparing real estate due diligence review submission packages in support of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's (CDCR) Corrections Standards Authority (CSA) Request for Proposals (RFP), Construction or Expansion of County Jails, issued December 20, 2007 and on July 21, 2009 (Phase I – 2009 Edition).

This checklist corresponds to the real estate due diligence review and the land-only appraisal review components of the Real Estate Due Diligence review addressed within the RFP and required in order for a county to be considered eligible to participate in the AB 900 Jail Construction Financing Program. The real estate due diligence review and the land-only appraisal review will be performed by the California Department of General Services (DGS) on behalf of the State Public Works Board (SPWB).

Additional documentation, documentation updates, and assurances may be requested by the State in support of its review requirements.

#### PACKAGE SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

Two (2) complete sets of documentation packaged in 3-ring binders are required for each proposed project submitted to CDCR. Binder tabs should separate each section according to the Package Submission Checklist. Completed packages should be mailed to:

Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Facilities Management Division Asset Management Branch 9838 Old Placerville Road, Suite B Sacramento, CA 95827

Attn: Project Manager (916) 255-3397

#### INITIAL COUNTY PACKAGE SUBMISSION DOCUMENTS

# □ Preliminary Report A preliminary report provided by a title company authorized to issue title insurance within the State of California is required. The preliminary report should be dated within three (3) months of the real estate due diligence package submission. The area covered by the preliminary report, i.e., its legal description, should be that of the proposed project site. However, if the proposed project site is a newly written legal description, i.e., a "footprint" located within a greater parcel, the area covered by the preliminary report should be that of the greater parcel. Additionally, if vehicular access to the proposed project site or its greater parcel is not by way of direct frontage on a public road then the area and interests covered by the preliminary report should also include any additional adjacent parcels and/or appurtenant easements that enable the access (fulfilling these requirements provides for what is known as the "Greater Parcel Area"). □ Title Documents When ordering the preliminary report require the title company to provide copies of all

Greater Parcel Area vesting documents and vesting sub-documents. They should also

**Preliminary Report** 

•		provide copies of all preliminary report exceptions to coverage documents including subdocuments and maps of record.
	Updated Preliminary Report Request(s)	Provision of preliminary report updates in support of the State's review process may be necessary (e.g., upon mitigation of an issue, to correct an error, etc.).
		The county is required to provide an updated preliminary report prior to the actual bond sale. Timing for the update will be as directed by SPWB staff.
Apprais	al of the Propos	ed Project Site
	Appraisal Report	Provide an independent real estate appraisal identifying land-only value for the proposed project site. The appraisal must be completed by an appraiser with a valid Certified General License issued by the State of California Office of Real Estate Appraisers.
		Submit a copy of the proposed project site appraisal prepared for the county's RFP response. If an independent real estate appraisal was not prepared for the county's RFP response, submit a new appraisal that provides a March 18, 2008 effective date of value for the proposed project site or October 8, 2009 (Phase I – 2009 Edition participants).
		The appraisal will be reviewed by DGS and should conform to DGS Appraisal Specifications (revised 01/01/2008). The DGS Appraisal Specifications are available online at <a href="http://www.resd.dgs.ca.gov/Branches/PSB/AppraisalSpecs.htm">http://www.resd.dgs.ca.gov/Branches/PSB/AppraisalSpecs.htm</a> .
		A DGS approved appraisal is required to maintain eligibility in the AB 900 Jail Construction Financing Program.
Records	s Search	
	Documents	Provide copies of all recorded or unrecorded vesting documents, mineral interests, deeds, easements, quit claims, purchase contracts, real estate agreements, title insurance policies, maps, legal descriptions, leases, memorandums of lease, lease termination statements, contracts, Uniform Commercial Code filings, and other legal documents affecting the Greater Parcel Area from sources such as:
		☐ The title company for documents filed with the County Recorder's Office;
		County files (search all potential areas where files may be stored such as county facility headquarters, local jail facility offices, county archives, pending/active/closed project files, etc.).
		Additionally, provide copies of all Greater Parcel Area recorded documents found, outside of and in addition to, those recorded documents provided by the title company (e.g., these could be documents that were not identified by or are not considered as exceptions to coverage by the title company).
	Assessor's Map	Provide copies of Greater Parcel Area Assessor's Parcel Maps (these are typically included with the preliminary report).
Jnrecor	ded Rights Certi	fication Letters
	Letters from County	Provide Unrecorded Rights Certification letters identifying the existence, or lack thereof, of any and all unrecorded rights that potentially impact the Greater Parcel Area.
		Two (2) Unrecorded Rights Certification letters are required for each Greater Parcel Area. One (1) letter is to be prepared and signed by the local facility property manager and one (1) letter is to be prepared and signed by the county property manager.
		A site-inspection of the Greater Parcel Area must be personally performed by each county staff member authorized to prepare and sign the certification letters.

When preparing the local facility and headquarters letters, if unrecorded rights are not identified, state so. If unrecorded rights do exist, identify them and supply any applicable documentation.

Some examples of "unrecorded rights" that encumber or may otherwise affect the property interests of the Greater Parcel Area are: contracts, leases, licenses, agreements, memorandums of understanding with public or private entities or persons that affect the use and/or occupancy of the Greater Parcel Area. We are looking for any potentially enforceable right another entity or person may claim allows them to use, occupy, or cross the Greater Parcel Area.

Sometimes conditions exist in real property that one assumes are recorded legal uses, i.e., roadways, irrigation/flood control facilities, ditches, encroachments, or utility lines. However, a visually identified or known periodic use may not appear in the property's preliminary report. Compare your records and knowledge of site conditions with the preliminary report and identify any conflicting property uses.

#### **Title Exceptions Map**

☐ Map

The title exceptions map of the Greater Parcel Area shall reflect the following information found in the preliminary report and discovered during the county's unrecorded rights onsite inspection:

- Plot and label all Greater Parcel Area geographically locatable exceptions reflected on the preliminary report and encumbrances discovered during the on-site inspection;
- Locate the proposed project site within the Greater Parcel Area;
- Typed on 8 ½" x 11" white bond;
- Stamped by a Land Surveyor licensed in the State of California and wet signed;
- Electronic copy in .pdf format.

#### ADDITIONAL COUNTY DELIVERABLES AFTER THE DGS'S INITIAL REVIEW

#### Legal Descriptions and Plat Map

Ш	Additional
	Deliverables

Upon completion of DGS's initial review of recorded and unrecorded rights affecting the Greater Parcel Area, the county will need to provide the deliverables described below.

To more efficiently manage project costs, it is recommended that counties coordinate with CDCR and DGS staff prior to preparing or contracting for deliverables identified within this section.

The legal description and accompanying legal description plat map (as described below) of the proposed project site are intended to be utilized as exhibits within multiple lease-revenue bond documents and are subject to specific production standards. The final determination as to the sufficiency of the legal description and legal description plat map will be made by SPWB staff.

The following general requirements apply to each legal description and legal description plat map:

Submit one (1) electronic copy in .pdf format each individually for the legal description, legal description plat map, and title exceptions map (as described below);
Prepare on 8 ½" x 11" white bond;
Do not include company logos, title blocks, shrubbery, trees, contour lines, or "Exhibit" labels on any of the documents;
Labeling format:

- Legal description labeled simply, "Legal Description";
- Legal description plat map labeled simply, "Plat Map to Accompany Legal Description";
- Provide wet signed and stamped documents for the legal description (newly written legal descriptions for the proposed project site), and legal description plat map.
- Legal Description

Existing previously recorded legal descriptions of the proposed project site may be utilized without being wet signed and stamped.

When developing a newly written legal description for a proposed project site consider the following guidelines:

- The property boundary being described should generally include at least a 5-to-15 foot buffer between the actual facility being constructed and other buildings on the site (not part of the proposed project). This rule is unrelated to any building code requirement. It is a general rule and may be altered given particular site conditions and/or upon the direction of SPWB staff;
- The basis of bearings shall be established along a record line or between monuments of record either on or directly tied to the property lines of the facility where the property being encumbered is located:
- Establish a legal reference point that can be identified, and label it (ex. Point of Commencement (POC), Point of Beginning (POB), or similar.);
- Horizontal control shall be tied to the California Coordinate System of 1983 (CCS83), unless, otherwise directed by the State. In the event that CCS83 cannot be obtained, Consultant shall request a waiver from the State in writing stating the reasons and documenting a coordinate system that will be used;
- Include any pertinent parcel information of record (ex: book and page numbers, assessor parcel numbers, lot numbers, etc.) in addition to the necessary information to get from the POC or POB to the True Point of Beginning (TPOB);
- The calls for bearing and distance necessary to go from TBOP around the perimeter of the agreed property being encumbered back to the TPOB;
- The square footage and acreage of the property being encumbered;
- Typed on 8 ½" x 11" white bond;
- Stamped by a Land Surveyor licensed in the State of California and wet signed;
- Electronic copy in .pdf format;
- Do not include company logos, title blocks, page numbers or other labeling, including "Exhibit" references on the legal description.
- Legal Desc. Plat Map The legal description plat map of the proposed project site shall contain the following information:
  - A vicinity map shall roughly locate the proposed project site relative to the overall facility boundary of record, or recorded roads:
  - Show relationship of the property being encumbered to adjacent buildings and/or features;
  - Either be to scale, with scale stated, or have geometric relationship to relationship above;

- Outline the proposed project structure within the property being encumbered:
- Detail graphically the TPOB, and all bearings and calls around the perimeter of the property being encumbered;
- Detail graphically the POC (POB), and all bearings and calls from it to the TPOB;
- Typed on 8 ½" x 11" white bond;
- Stamped by a Land Surveyor licensed in the State of California and wet signed;
- Electronic copy in .pdf format;
- Do not include company logos, title blocks, page numbers, shrubbery, trees, contour lines or "Exhibit" labels on the plat map. All type must be of a size and quality to be clearly readable.

#### FUTURE COUNTY DELIVERABLES PRIOR TO THE BOND SALE

#### Update at Time of Bond Sale

Future Deliverables		bond sale and generally on or around the time of completion of construction of the real estate due diligence review is required.
	burdens that	is necessary to identify and analyze newly disclosed Greater Parcel Are at impact the proposed project site. Confirmation that the project was built-operty being encumbered is also obtained.
	Timing for the	ne update will be as directed by SPWB staff.
	The followi	ng county deliverables are required at the time of the real estate du date:
		An updated preliminary report covering the Greater Parcel Area. The report should be prepared by the title company providing the original preliminary report;
		Updated Unrecorded Rights letters addressing any changes from the letters originally submitted;
		A letter certifying that the construction project was built within the proposed (or final) project site. The letter should be signed by the county staff responsible for project management.

#### PACKAGE SUBMISSION NOTES AND RELATED REVIEW PROCESSES

#### Site Inspections by State

Site Visits

The State will conduct site inspections of the Greater Parcel Area prior to completion of its real estate due diligence review. The site visits will be coordinated by CDCR staff.

#### **Findings and Mitigation**

 Real Estate Due Diligence Reports The DGS will submit a written report to SPWB staff outlining all findings of the real estate due diligence review and will identify any outstanding issues.

The report will include recommendations for the mitigation of any known condition on the Greater Parcel Area that may affect the value, desirability or utility of the proposed project site. Copies of the report will also be provided to CSA, CDCR, and the county.

The DGS will submit a written report to SPWB staff of its analysis and conclusions of its proposed project site land-only value appraisal review. Copies of the report will also be provided to CSA, CDCR, and the county.

The DGS will also submit the real estate due diligence review update summary report to SPWB staff with copies going to CSA, CDCR, and the county.

Issue Mitigation

The DGS will attempt to clear minor property rights and other real estate due diligence issues during its review process. Clearing substantial issues such as those requiring abandonment or relocation of existing road/utility rights-of-way, recordation of correction deeds to perfect title, establishment of the property's boundary lines, quit claiming surface or mineral rights, quit claiming interests held by outside parties, and condemnation actions is the county's responsibility. The DGS is available on a fee for service basis to provide issue mitigation support.

Depending upon the nature of the issue, it is possible under certain conditions, to proceed with the project while mitigating an outstanding issue. This will be as directed by SPWB staff on a case-by-case basis.

# REVIEW OF CASH AND IN-KIND MATCH

#### **REVIEW OF CASH AND IN-KIND MATCH**

The matching funds are reviewed to determine that the county's contribution is compatible with lease revenue financing and reasonable for the work to be performed.

#### Cash Match Review

Both the CDCR Finance, Administration and Support Services (FASS) Division and Finance will review the Cash Match information provided by the county to ensure that the source of matching funds is compatible with lease revenue bond financing (Attachment 2). The CDCR FASS Division will review information and notify county and CSA of any deficiencies (approximately 2 weeks). Once review has been completed, the CDCR FASS Division will present Finance with a package that summarizes the findings, references source documents, and identifies any known outstanding issues (approximately 1 week).

Finance will use this information to make a determination on whether the matching funds are compatible with the lease revenue bond financing program, engaging outside bond counsel as appropriate. Finance will notify the CDCR FASS Division of any questions and/or deficiencies (approximately 2 weeks). Once questions are answered and information is complete. Finance will notify the CDCR FASS Division that matching funds are acceptable (approximately 2 weeks). The CDCR FASS Division will notify county and the CSA that Cash Match funds are compatible with lease revenue funding. Additionally, this information will be used by the CDCR FASS Division to develop language for the Certificate of Matching Funds (to be signed by county) and Exhibit E-1 of the PDCA. If the county changes its source or amount of Cash Match, or the project costs increase, a review will need to be performed again and a new certificate executed. The Cash Match information will be verified again at the time of the bond sale.

#### **In-Kind Match Review**

The CDCR FASS Division with assistance from other state staff will review the county In-Kind Match as defined by the county's project proposal to ensure that the costs are reasonable for the work to be performed and will then notify the county and CSA of any questions and/or deficiencies (approximately 2 weeks). Review of the costs related to land value will be evaluated with assistance of DGS staff, primarily using the appraisal provided by the county during the Due Diligence review. For construction and design related activities this will be reviewed by the CDCR Project Construction and Management Division to ensure the costs for each item are reasonable. CDCR FASS Division will send a memo to Finance which will summarize each component of the In-Kind Match and the findings, and provide a copy of this memo to the county and CSA (approximately 1 week). This information will be used by the CDCR FASS Division to develop Exhibit E-2 of the PDCA. If the county changes the categories and/or amounts of In-Kind Match funding, this information must be approved by the CSA and then submitted to CDCR FASS Division for review in the manner specified herein. This information will be verified again at the time of the bond sale.

#### Cash Match Fund Review

This checklist is intended to aid in the initial review of match (cash) funds identified by a county for a county project. CDCR will resolve information needs identified by this checklist with the county and present DOF with a package that summarizes the findings, references source documents, and identifies any known outstanding issues. DOF will use this information to make a determination on whether the matching funds are compatible with the lease revenue program, engaging outside bond counsel as appropriate.

- What is project matching fund requirement?
- Has county identified a source for entire matching funds amount?
- Has county appropriated/reserved/set aside these funds? How?
- What is the source of funds?
- If special fund:
  - o Identify authority to use the funds for jail construction;
  - Identify source of collection of funds (e.g., development impact fees, or other type of special assessment);
  - Obtain current fund condition statement, and fund balance forecast over the project timeline.
- If General Obligation Bond fund:
  - Obtain the ballot measure, authorizing resolution/indenture, and Official Statement:
  - o Identify how much of the GO Bond was identified for jail use in the bond offering;
  - Identify source of repayment.
- If other type of debt:
  - Identify type (e.g., Certificate of Participation financing);
  - o Identify legal authority relied upon to issue the debt;
  - Obtain authorizing resolution/indenture, and Official Statement;
  - Identify how repayment is secured;
  - o If secured by an asset or pool of assets, describe the assets and identify whether all or part of jail project area is affected by or included in the assets.

# DEVELOPMENT OF STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD PROJECT SCOPE

#### **DEVELOPMENT OF SPWB PROJECT SCOPE**

The SPWB project scope defines the project that is to be constructed and that will ultimately secure the lease revenue bonds. It is used in the PDCA, CSA Construction Agreement and in SPWB agenda items.

Lease revenue bonds will be issued to provide the state financing for the construction of county projects. A typical state project involves the state department leasing the site for a nominal fee to the SPWB, or the SPWB may acquire the site directly. The state department will construct the project as SPWB's agent. The SPWB holds title to the parcel and will lease the *completed facility* to the state department for a rental fee equal to the amount needed to provide for the debt service on the revenue bonds and associated administrative expenses. The lease term cannot exceed the useful life of the capital asset and are typically 25 years or less.

A capital asset is a completed tangible physical property that has beneficial use and occupancy with an "expected useful life of 10 to 15 years" (Government Code Section 16727) or for the life of the bonds.

The county's project proposal submitted to the CSA, describing the proposed project was not intended to fully describe the entire "capital asset" for lease revenue bond purposes. The development of the SPWB project scope will be more detailed but concise (see example below of a "conceptual level" SPWB project scope).

For the SPWB's purposes, one to three paragraphs are generally sufficient to describe the scope of the project.

The SPWB project scope describes the project to be constructed and why the project is necessary. The SPWB project scope will include both the physical characteristics of the project and the intended program use.

For **design-bid-build** projects the design development drawings (preliminary plans), and later construction documents (working drawings), are used to refine the SPWB project scope in terms of the physical characteristics of the project.

For **design-build** projects the performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings are used to further refine the SPWB project scope in terms of the physical characteristics of the project.

The SPWB project scope description should include as much detail as possible including items such as total square footage, type of building, space usage (i.e. program space, treatment space, office space, housing space, etc.), number of beds, and approximate number of staff. The CDCR FASS Division will develop the initial SPWB project scope description based on the scope of work defined in the county's project proposal submitted and approved by the CSA Board. The initial description will then be reviewed by the CSA, the DGS and Finance for accuracy and sufficiency. The CDCR FASS Division will then send the scope to the county for review and approval.

Once the SPWB project scope is finalized, the CDCR FASS Division will use the SPWB project scope to create Exhibit A of the PDCA and develop the initial SPWB agenda item.

#### Example of a "conceptual level" SPWB project scope:

This project will design and construct renovations to and expansion of the existing county jail located on county owned land. The expansion will provide approximately 42,600 square feet (sf) of additional new housing and support services space.

The new housing addition will be approximately 27,100 sf and will include a celled housing pod with 32 double occupancy cells (approximately 64 beds) and a housing pod with eight dormitories, each including five sets of double bunks (approximately 80 beds), to house a total of approximately 144 inmates. Each housing pod will also include a dayroom; program space for education, religious, counseling, and recidivism reduction services; and an interview room.

This project will also include an approximately 12,200 sf, one-story Visitation and Training building to be located adjacent to the existing facility. This building will provide space for staff briefing and training, new locker rooms to accommodate increased staff, staff support, facility support, and internal affairs. In addition, this building will provide for public visiting and attorney interviews in a new video visitation center. Moreover, public areas will be expanded to accommodate the anticipated increase in visitors, including a complete entry control package and locked storage for visitors.

A portion of the existing jail will also be renovated to expand, reconfigure, and upgrade existing office, treatment, and program space, which will add approximately 3,300 sf of new support services space. These renovations will provide additional space for administrative and custody staff offices as well as inmate property and clothing storage. The current infirmary will be relocated and expanded. Program space will be added to accommodate additional medical, dental and mental health services. The intake/release processing areas will include holding cells, toilet facilities for staff and inmates, medical triage rooms, processing area, administrative office area, and interview rooms. Modifications will be made to the existing Control Room to monitor and operate the security perimeter and housing pods.

The project will include, but not limited to: electrical, mechanical, and HVAC systems; a building to contain chillers and boilers; and security and fire protection systems. Limited site work will be included such as curbs, gutters and parking areas.

PROJECT COST SUMMARY (3-page estimate)

#### PROJECT COST SUMMARY (3-page estimate)

The project cost summary (3-page estimate) presents the cost of the project in a specified format. The project cost is updated each time the project is brought to the SPWB or Finance for an approval. The participating county is responsible for developing the 3-page estimate in coordination with CSA to ensure it is consistent with the county's project proposal. CDCR FASS Division is available to assist the county. The 3-page estimate will be submitted to CDCR FASS for review. The county's current project cost estimate that is used to create the 3-page estimate should also be forwarded to CDCR FASS for review every time the 3-page estimate is updated.

Although the SPWB process requires the development of a 3-page estimate, this requirement does not change the stipulations set forth in CSA's Jail Construction RFPs (December 2007 and July 2009), CSA's Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Construction RFP (July 15, 2008), any future Jail or Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility RFP and regulations pertaining to eligible vs. ineligible costs for purposes of claiming state dollars or documenting Cash and In-Kind Match. If the county has any questions, they should contact CSA.

The 3-page estimate will include all costs for the fully-scoped project (as determined collaboratively with the state in the previous exercise) as defined by the project legal description. Please note that the costs reflected on the 3-page estimate may differ from the costs identified in the project proposal submitted to CSA. The 3-page estimate costs will correspond to the SPWB defined project scope.

## <u>DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT COST SUMMARY (3-page estimate) Design-Bid-Build</u>

The 3-page estimate for design-bid-build projects includes both the costs for the physical construction of the project and the costs associated with the project design, construction management and equipment. Project costs are broken out by project phase (study/acquisition, preliminary plans/design development, working drawings/construction documents, and construction) and by category. A sample 3-page estimate for a design-bid-build project is attached (Attachment 3).

#### Page 1 -- Project Cost Summary (design-bid-build)

The first page of the 3-page estimate includes project description (matching the SPWB project scope), an estimated summary of labor and materials, and an estimated total cost of construction with adjustments and contingency.

The contract cost is the estimated mean construction bid if the project was to be released for bidding at the time the estimate is prepared. For state construction projects, this is commonly referred to as the "state's estimate." The document is broken down by major project components or by construction divisions.

Construction cost adjustments are used to indicate the potential impact of inflation upon project costs from the date of the estimate until the construction period. More information regarding these adjustments can be found in Budget Letter (BL) 10-15, dated July 12, 2010 (Attachment 4). Instructions for construction cost adjustments are updated each summer, and the most recent budget letter on this topic can be found at the following link: http://www.dof.ca.gov/budgeting/budget letters/.

- California Construction Cost Index (CCCI): This calculation is only used if a new Project
  Cost Summary is prepared at a stage of the project where a new construction cost
  estimate is not available. For example, during the working drawings phase, a SPWB
  action is prepared for approval of the Ground Lease. The Contract Cost will not have
  changed since the estimate prepared at the completion of preliminary plans. The CCCI
  from the date of the preliminary plan estimate to the current date is used to estimate the
  impact of inflation.
- Escalation to Start of Construction: This is calculated by determining the number of months from the current estimate until the awarding of the construction contract. This amount is multiplied by the per-month inflation factor identified in BL 10-15.
- Escalation to Mid-Point: This is calculated by dividing the construction duration in months by two, and then multiplying the quotient by the per-month inflation factor identified in BL 10-15.

Contingency: This is a construction contingency and not a design contingency. The CSA's Jail Construction RFP and the CSA's Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Construction RFP states that contingency can be up to a maximum of 10 percent of state funding applied to the contract costs for the resolution of change orders derived from unknowns inherent in every

construction project. Contract costs and contingency are allocated in the construction phase of each project. Contingency can be used to cover all costs associated with construction change orders.

#### Page 2 -- Summary of Costs by Phase (design-bid-build)

The second page of the 3-page estimate includes project costs identified by project phase (study/acquisition, preliminary plans, working drawings, and construction) and by category. The various categories are defined below:

The architecture and engineering (A&E) services section includes costs for the following components:

- A&E design costs associated with design development and documentation of preliminary plans and working drawings, as well as construction support. Costs include all design disciplines (architecture, civil, structural, mechanical and electrical engineering, and cost estimating).
- Construction inspection and travel: Costs associated with construction supervision and inspection, including travel time (but not travel expense).
- Construction guarantee inspection costs associated with post-construction warranty review and inspection.
- Advertising, printing, and mailing costs associated with reproduction and distribution of plans and specifications.

The other project costs section includes cost categories that may be unique to the project. Examples of other project costs could include:

- Special consultants as determined by project requirements. Special consultants may include hazardous materials abatement (survey, abatement documents and monitoring), topographic survey, and/or soils reports.
- Materials testing, as determined by project requirements. This category includes all testing for specification compliance during the construction phase of a project, including soil compacting tests and concrete test cylinders.
- Project management for contract and in-house activities through each phase of the project.
- Contract construction management includes funds to retain private construction management firms or for in-house construction management personnel assigned to the project.
- Site acquisition costs and fees associated with site acquisition and appraised value (In-Kind Match item). This line item is only used if land was purchased specifically for this project.
- Agency retained items are items required for the project and provided by the county, not included in the construction contract. This item may include materials and installation, and services. Historically, this has included telecommunications, owner furnished equipment, and utility costs during the construction of the project.
- DVBE Assessment: This line item will not pertain to the county, leave blank.
- Plan Checking may include school checking, essential services checking, and/or handicap checking.
- Environmental documents include the cost for preparation of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents and fees associated with any required mitigations or permits.

#### Page 3 -- Funding Data and Estimate Notes (design-bid-build)

The third page of the 3-page estimate includes funding information and estimate notes.

• In the "Estimate Notes" area include a break-down of agency retained items, such as telecommunications. Group II equipment, etc.

The CDCR FASS Division will review the 3-page estimate developed by the county (as well as the county's project cost estimate provided as back-up) and notify the county of any questions and/or need for additional information (approximately 2 weeks). The county will provide a revised 3-page estimate, if necessary. This 3-page estimate will be used by the CDCR FASS Division to develop Exhibit A of the PDCA. Additionally, a 3-page estimate will need to be updated at future stages of the project (i.e. preliminary plans, working drawings, award of contract, etc.).

#### DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT COST SUMMARY (3-page estimate) Design-Build

The 3-page estimate for design-build projects includes both the costs for the physical construction of the project and the costs associated with the project design, construction management and equipment. Project costs are broken out by project phase (study/acquisition, performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings development, and design-build) and by category. A sample 3-page estimate for a design-build project is attached (Attachment 5).

#### Page 1 -- Project Cost Summary (design-build)

The first page of the 3-page estimate includes project description (matching the SPWB project scope), an estimated summary of labor and materials, and an estimated total cost of the design-build contract with adjustments and contingency.

The direct cost is the estimated mean construction bid if the project was to be released for bidding at the time the estimate is prepared. For state construction projects, this is commonly referred to as the "state's estimate." The document is broken down by major project components or by construction divisions.

Construction cost adjustments are used to indicate the potential impact of inflation upon project costs from the date of the estimate until the construction period. More information regarding these adjustments can be found in Budget Letter (BL) 10-15, dated July 12, 2010 (Attachment 4). Instructions for construction cost adjustments are updated each summer, and the most recent budget letter on this topic can be found at the following link: http://www.dof.ca.gov/budgeting/budget\_letters/.

- California Construction Cost Index (CCCI): This calculation is only used if a new Project Cost Summary is prepared at a stage of the project where a new construction cost estimate is not available. For example, during the development of performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings, a SPWB action is prepared for approval of the Ground Lease. The Contract Cost will not have changed since the estimate prepared at establishment of scope, schedule and cost. However, the estimate is updated to reflect the impact of inflation. The CCCI from the date of the project establishment to the current date is used to estimate this impact.
- Escalation to Start of Construction: This is calculated by determining the number of months from the current estimate until the awarding of the design-build contract. This amount is multiplied by the per-month inflation factor identified in BL 10-15.
- Escalation to Mid-Point: This is calculated by dividing the design-build contract duration in months by two, and then multiplying the quotient by the per-month inflation factor identified in BL 10-15.

Indirect costs include the design portion of the design-build contract. For most state design-build projects, this is approximately six percent of the construction costs.

Contingency: This is a construction contingency and not a design contingency. The CSA's Jail Construction RFP and CSA's Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Construction RFP states that contingency can be up to a maximum of 10 percent of state funding applied to the contract costs for the resolution of change orders derived from unknowns inherent in every construction project. Contract costs and contingency are allocated in the construction phase of each project. Contingency can be used to cover all costs associated with construction change orders.

#### Page 2 -- Summary of Costs by Phase (design-build)

The second page of the 3-page estimate includes project costs identified by project phase (study/acquisition, performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings, and design-build) and by category. The various categories are defined below:

The architecture and engineering (A&E) services section includes costs for the following components:

- A&E design costs associated with development of performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings, documentation of the RFP, and construction support. Costs include all design disciplines (architecture, civil, structural, mechanical and electrical engineering, and cost estimating).
- Construction inspection and travel: Costs associated with construction supervision and inspection, including travel time (but not travel expense).
- Construction guarantee inspection costs associated with post-construction warranty review and inspection.
- Advertising, printing, and mailing costs associated with reproduction and distribution of plans and specifications.

The other project costs section includes cost categories that may be unique to the project. Examples of other project costs could include:

- Special consultants as determined by project requirements. Special consultants may include hazardous materials abatement (survey, abatement documents and monitoring), topographic survey, and/or soils reports.
- Materials testing, as determined by project requirements. This category includes all testing for specification compliance during the construction phase of a project, including soil compacting tests and concrete test cylinders.
- Project management for contract and in-house activities through each phase of the project.
- Contract construction management includes funds to retain private construction management firms or for in-house construction management personnel assigned to the project.
- Site acquisition costs and fees associated with site acquisition and appraised value (In-Kind Match item). This line item is only used if land was purchased specifically for this project.
- Agency retained items are items required for the project and provided by the county, not included in the construction contract. This item may include materials and installation, and services. Historically, this has included telecommunications, owner furnished equipment, and utility costs during the construction of the project.
- DVBE Assessment: This line item will not pertain to the county, leave blank.

- Plan Checking may include school checking, essential services checking, and/or handicap checking.
- Environmental documents include the cost for preparation of CEQA documents and fees associated with any required mitigations or permits.

#### Page 3 -- Funding Data and Estimate Notes (design-build)

The third page of the 3-page estimate includes funding information and estimate notes.

• In the "Estimate Notes" area include a break-down of agency retained items, such as telecommunications, Group II equipment, etc.

The CDCR FASS Division will review the 3-page estimate developed by the county (as well as the county's project cost estimate provided as back-up) and notify the county of any questions and/or need for additional information (approximately 2 weeks). The county will provide a revised 3-page estimate, if necessary. This 3-page estimate will be used by the CDCR FASS Division to develop Exhibit A of the PDCA. Additionally, a 3-page estimate will need to be updated at future stages of the project (e.g. approval of performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings, contract award, etc.).

#### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROJECT COST SUMMARY

PROJECT:

ISP - HVAC System

PRELIMINARY EST:

P9CDCR36BP

LOCATION:

Ironwood State Prison, Blythe Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

4851 / 5259 EST. / CURR'T. CCCI: DATE ESTIMATED:

CUSTOMER:

TBA

BIS NO:

12/15/2009

DESIGN BY: PROJECT MGR:

NA RH

J Spain

PREPARED BY:

61.34.004

TEMPLATE:

Design / Bid / Build

DOF PROJ. I.D. NO.:

#### DESCRIPTION

Replace and upgrade existing HVAC system at Ironwood State Prison (ISP) for 20 housing units and most other institutional support facilities. Install new energy saving roof membrane over additional insulation. Scope of work also includes the expansion of Central Plant currently under construction at the CVSP facility to accommodate a new central HVAC system for ISP facility. This expansion was planned for during the design and construction of CVSP's Central Plant. The expansion shall be limited to the equipment room, electrical room, and the exterior yard. The existing office, restrooms, and storage areas are currently sized for the expansion of the CVSP's Central Plant. Also, generators will be provided to operate the Central Plant during a power outage.

#### ESTIMATE SUMMARY

Site Work & Demolition	\$3,299,000
Concrete	\$407,000
Masonry	·\$163,000
Structural & Miscellaneous Steel	\$467,000
Pre-Engineered Metal Building	\$609,000
Rough & Finish Carpentry	\$35,000
Thermal & Moisture Protection	\$23,225,000
Doors, Glass and Glazing	\$66,000
Painting	\$15,000
Miscellaneous Specialties	\$20,000
Plumbing	\$91,000
HVAC & Chilled Water	\$47,858,000
Electrical Power and Lighting	\$5,911,000
Electrical Low Voltage	\$157,000

ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS:	\$82,323,000
Adjust CCCI From 4851 to 5259	\$6,923,900
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS ON NOVEMBER 2009	\$89,246,900
Escalation to Start of Construction 36 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.: Escalation to Mid Point 12 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.:	\$13,494,000 \$5,178,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTS:	\$107,918,900
Contingency At: 7%	\$7,554,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST:	\$115,472,900

#### SUMMARY OF COSTS BY PHASE

PROJECT:

ISP - HVAC System

PRELIMINARY EST:

P9CDCR36BP

LOCATION:

Ironwood State Prison, Blythe

DATE ESTIMATED:

12/15/2009

BIS#:

CONSTRUCTION DURATION:

24 MONTHS

ESTIMATED CONTRACT: \$107,918,900 \$107,918,900

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY: \$7,554,000 \$7,554,000

TOTAL: \$115,472,900 \$115,472,900

		•		
ACQUISITION STUDY 00	PRELIMINARY PLANS 01	WORKING DRAWINGS 02	CONSTRUCTION 03	TOTAL
	\$3,420,000	\$5,439,500	\$2,590,100	\$11,449,600
	-		\$3,237,600	\$3,237,600
			\$539,600	\$539,600
				\$0
	\$0	\$362,200		\$362,200
	,	,	\$54,000	\$54,000
\$0	\$3,420,000	\$5,801,700	\$6,421,300	\$15,643,000
	STUDY 00	\$3,420,000 \$0	\$3,420,000 \$5,439,500 \$0 \$362,200	\$3,420,000 \$5,439,500 \$2,590,100 \$3,237,600 \$539,600 \$0 \$362,200 \$54,000

				90.00	
OTHER PROJECT COSTS				e e e	
Special Consultants (Soils/Survey)	•	\$350,000	\$150,000	\$200,000	\$700,000
Materials Testing				\$700,400	\$700,400
Project/Construction Management		\$711,000	\$1,057,600	\$1,888,600	\$3,657,200
Contract Construction Management		\$0	\$809,400	\$4,370,700	\$5,180,100
Site Acquisition Cost & Fees					\$0
Agency Retained Items	·			\$2,143,800	\$2,143,800
DVBE Assessment				\$272,200	\$272,200
Structural Peer Review			\$5,000	\$2,100	\$7,100
Hospital Checking					\$0
Essential Services			\$0.		\$0
Access Compliance Checking					\$0
Environmental Document (Neg Dec)	·	\$150,000	\$5,000		\$155,000
Due Diligence		\$50,000			\$50,000
Health services Checking			\$4,000	\$2,000	\$6,000
Other - Local Mitigation		ŕ			\$0
Other Costs - (SFM)	·	\$27,000	\$13,800	\$175,000	\$215,800
Other Costs - Program Management		\$850,000	\$1,888,500	\$831,000	\$3,569,500
Other Costs - Substation Upgrade /Edi	ison	\$200,000	\$900,000		\$1,100,000
SUBTOTAL OTHER PROJECT COST	\$0	\$2,338,000	\$4,833,300	\$10,585,800	\$17,757,100

TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	\$0	\$5,758,000	\$10,635,000	\$132,480,000	\$148,873,000
LESS FUNDS TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LESS FUNDS AVAILABLE NOT TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$5,758,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,758,000
CARRY OVER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,635,000	
BALANCE OF FUNDS REQUIRED	\$0	\$0	\$10,635,000	\$143,115,000	\$143,115,000

#### FUNDING DATA & ESTIMATE NOTES

PROJECT: LOCATION:

ISP - HVAC System

Ironwood State Prison, Blythe

PRELIMINARY EST:

P9CDCR36BP

12/15/2009

BIS#:

NΑ

DATE ESTIMATED:

FUND	ING	DA	TA

	<u>Chapter / Item</u>	Phase	Amount	Totals
Fund Transfers N/A		0	\$0	
Total Funds Transf	erred		·	\$0
Funds Available No Chp. 268/08 - 52		P	\$5,758,000	
	P			. * .
Total Funds Availal	ole not Transferred		- - -	\$5,758,000
Total Funds Transfe	erred and Available			\$5,758,000

#### ESTIMATE NOTES

- 1. The construction costs in this estimate are indexed from the CCCI Index as of the date of estimate preparation to the CCCI index that is current as of NOVEMBER 1, 2009. The project estimate is then escalated for a 12 month period to an assumed construction midpoint. Additionally, the project has been escalated to the assumed start of construction.
- 2. Estimated costs in this estimate are indexed from the CCCI Index as of the date of estimate preparation. The project estimate is then escalated to the scheduled start of construction and then to an assumed construction midpoint in accordance with Budget Letter BL 06-23.

	Guarding Costs		\$1,228,800
	Telecommunications		\$150,000
	Group II Equipment		\$15,000
	Inmate Relocation		\$750,000
	0		\$0
	0	i	, \$0
- 4			

0		\$0
. 0		\$0
0		\$0
o		\$0
0		· \$0
0		\$0

Total

\$2,143.800

- 3. A CEQA document will be required but a complete EIR is not anticipated because almost all of the area has been disturbed with new prison Construction.
- 4. The schedule assumes funding for Working Drawings in FY 11/12 and Construction in FY 12/13.
- 5. Guarding costs assume for work areas @ any time. Thus, 1 officer per work area = 8 officers for 24 months @ \$6,400/Month/Officer.

TO:

Agency Secretaries
Department Directors

Department Budget Officers
Department Accounting Officers
Department of Finance Budget Staff

FROM: DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

#### **Project Cost Estimates and CCCI Escalation Procedures**

Consistent with BL 09-26, the Department of General Services (DGS) will continue to publish each month the current California Construction Cost Index (CCCI) data at:

http://www.documents.dgs.ca.gov/resd/pmb/ccci/cccitable.pdf

Departments must apply the most recently published CCCI when preparing budget packages, preliminary plans, working drawings, or any other required estimates for a given project. These costs shall represent the estimated hard (direct) costs at the time each estimate is prepared. Older estimates may also be updated to reflect actual changes in the CCCI since the previous estimate was prepared.

The CCCI update is calculated by subtracting the published CCCI at the time the last estimate was prepared from the published CCCI at the time the estimate is updated, with the difference divided by the previous CCCI. This calculation will yield a fraction that is then multiplied by the total hard costs to find the CCCI adjustment. Any such CCCI adjustments shall be identified on the project estimate as a distinct line item, immediately following the total direct costs. The CCCI adjusted total direct costs are hereinafter referred to as the Total Current Costs.

**Example**: An estimate with direct costs of \$10 million is completed in October 2009 at a CCCI of 5400. The estimate was subsequently updated in May 2010 at a CCCI of 5292, a decrease of 108 points or 2.0 percent (0.02). Based on the new CCCI, the direct costs would be reduced by \$200,000, for a revised Total Current Costs of \$9,800,000. This amount would then be escalated to the start and midpoint of construction pursuant to the instructions below (See Attachment).

Note: Updating estimates for actual CCCI changes is only allowed if a new estimate is not available. The above CCCI references are used for example only.

#### **Escalation Procedures**

For projects with an estimated contract award date <u>before</u> **January 2012**, the Total Current Costs for each project shall be escalated on a monthly basis starting from the date the construction estimate was last updated to the projected start and mid-point of construction at a rate of **0.25** percent. This escalation represents a projected annual increase of approximately 3.0 percent and is intended to help offset anticipated near-term CCCI increases.

For projects with an estimated contract award date <u>after</u> **December 2011**, the Total Current Costs for each project shall be escalated on a monthly basis starting from the date the construction estimate was last updated to the projected start and midpoint of construction at a rate of **0.42** percent. This escalation represents a projected annual increase of approximately 5.0 percent and is intended to help offset anticipated longer-term CCCI increases.

Regardless of which escalation rate is used, the monthly escalation rate is not to be compounded monthly; instead the escalation to the start of construction is to be calculated by multiplying the number of months estimated between the date the estimate was last updated and the start of construction by the appropriate escalation rate, as specified above. The escalation to the mid-point of construction is calculated in the same manner by dividing the construction period (in months) by two and multiplying that number by the Total Current Costs (not including the escalation to the start of construction).

**Example:** The same project used in the previous example, with Total Current Costs of \$9,800,000 as of May 2010, is projected to start construction in May 2012, a total of 24 months, and end construction in May 2013, twelve-months later. Therefore, to calculate the escalation to the start of construction, the Total Current Costs are multiplied by 24 (number of months to start of construction) and 0.0042 (the escalation rate for projects starting construction after December 2011), for a total escalation to the start of construction of \$988,000.

The same process would then be used for calculating the escalation to the midpoint of construction. Using the same project as an example, the Total Current Costs of \$9,800,000 would be multiplied by 6 (number of months to the midpoint of construction) and by 0.0042, for an additional escalation of \$247,000,with the product from the escalation to the start of construction (\$988,000) and escalation to the mid-point of construction added to the Total Current Costs, for a Total Contracts amount of \$11,035,000 (See Attachment).

Note: Departments are required to base their 2011-12 Capital Outlay Budget Change Proposals (COBCPs) on the published CCCI as described above. Any COBCPs revised per this BL must be submitted to Finance no later than **September 1, 2010** (per BL 10-05). Any COBCPs submitted after this deadline will generally not be accepted unless approved by the Department of Finance (Finance) **prior** to this deadline.

#### Instructions for Projects Not Managed by DGS

The escalation procedures and reporting requirements for projects not managed by DGS are the same as described above, except that alternative fiscal reporting documents may be used, which differ slightly from those used by DGS. However, any alternative fiscal reporting documents must contain substantially the same information as required for DGS managed projects and must be approved by Finance.

For any questions, contact your Capital Outlay budget analyst at (916) 445-9694.

/s/ Greg Rogers

Greg Rogers
Assistant Program Budget Manager

Attachment

# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES PROJECT COST SUMMARY

PROJECT: Central Coast Reentry Facility BOCDCR85BP **BUDGET ESTIMATE:** PASO, Paso Robles EST. / CURR'T. CCCI: LOCATION: 5378 / 5378 CUSTOMER: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation DATE ESTIMATED: 6/8/2010 **DESIGN BY:** TBA BIS NO: NA PROJECT MGR: N Giannini PREPARED BY: RH DOF PROJ. I.D. NO.: TEMPLATE: Design Build TBA

#### DESCRIPTION

This project is for the design and construction of a stand alone rehabilitation facility, approximately 216,000 square feet, to house inmates in their final year of incarceration. The building will contain space for administrative functions, and space for health, education, training and counseling services.

#### **ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

DIRECT COST	
-------------	--

 Site Work
 \$23,000,000

 New Construction
 \$86,220,000

ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS: Adjust CCCI From 5378 to 5378 (MAY 2010)	<b>\$109,220,000</b> \$0
Escalation to Start of Construction 13 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.:  Escalation to Mid Point 13 Months @ 0.42% / Mo.:  ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS:	\$5,963,000 \$6,289,000 \$121,472,000
(INDIRECT COSTS)  Architectural & Engineering Fees @ 6% () Contingency is 3%	\$7,288,000 \$3,645,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS:	\$10,933,000
ESTIMATED CONTRACT WITH CONTINGENCY	\$132,405,000

CDCR / PMCS / OFM Page 1

#### SUMMARY OF COSTS BY PHASE

PROJECT:

Central Coast Reentry Facility

LOCATION:

PASO, Paso Robles

BIS #:

NA

**BUDGET ESTIMATE:** 

DATE ESTIMATED:

BOCDCR85BP

6/8/2010

26 MONTHS

CONSTRUCTION DURATION: ESTIMATED CONTRACT: \$128,760,000 \$128,760,000

CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY: \$3,645,000 \$3,645,000 TOTAL: \$132,405,000 \$132,405,000

ACQUISITION				
STUDY 00	BID DB / LP 01		WD/C DB / LP 03	TOTAL
				101712
		•		
	\$1 235 000		<b>\$010.500</b>	
	Ψ1,200,000		• *	\$1,851,500
		· ·	\$3,876,000	\$3,876,000
	1		\$321,900	\$321,900
}		; '		\$0
	\$50,000		. 1	
	700,000			\$50,000
00	04 007	<u>-</u>	\$193,100	\$193,100
\$0 [	\$1,285,000	\$0	\$5,007,500	\$6,292,500
	\$0	\$1,235,000 \$50,000	\$1,235,000 \$50,000	\$1,235,000 \$3,876,000 \$321,900 \$50,000 \$193,100

							=
	OTHER PROJECT COSTS		1	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
	Special Consultants (Soils/Survey)		2222		1		.
	Materials Testing		\$302,000	)   ' :	\$4,019,000	\$4,321,000	
	, -				\$1,029,800	\$1,029,800	
•	Project/Construction Management		\$850,700		\$2,932,000	\$3,782,700	1
	Contract Construction Management		\$515,100		\$3,927,200		
	Site Acquisition Cost & Fees	1		1		\$0	ŀ
	Agency Retained Items			1	\$2,525,900	\$2,525,900	ı
	DVBE Assessment				\$54,900	\$54,900	
	Structural Peer Review		\$52,000		\$52,000	1 ' '	
	Commissioning	1	\$250,000			\$104,000	ŀ
	Environmental Document		\$125,000		\$750,000	\$1,000,000	l
1	Access Compliance Checking		\$10,000		\$75,000	\$200,000	1
	EIR Project Mitigation		ψ10,000		\$29,000	\$39,000	
1	Due Diligence	1	#77 00m		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	
1	Health services Checking		\$77,000			\$77,000	
l	Community Mitigation	·	\$31,000		\$21,000	\$52,000	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			\$400,000	\$400,000	
1	Other Costs - (SFM)		\$5,500		\$175,000	\$180,500	
	Other Costs - Program Management		\$965,700		\$849,800	\$1,815,500	
-	Other Costs - Utility Fees				\$884,900	\$884,900	
1	SUBTOTAL OTHER PROJECT COSTS	\$0	\$3,184,000	\$0	\$18,725,500	\$21,909,500	
			<del> </del>			Ψ=1,000,000	

To			<u> </u>	and the second	
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	\$0	\$4,469,000	\$0	\$156,138,000	\$160,607,000
LESS FUNDS TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
LESS FUNDS AVAILABLE					
NOT TRANSFERRED	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CARRY OVER	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,469,000	
BALANCE OF FUNDS REQUIRED	\$0	\$4,469,000	- O O	0400 007 000	
		Ψ-1,-100,000	\$0	\$160,607,000	\$160,607,000

#### FUNDING DATA & ESTIMATE NOTES.

PROJECT: LOCATION: Central Coast Reentry Facility

PASO, Paso Robles

BUDGET ESTIMATE:

BOCDCR85BP

BIS #:

NA NA DATE ESTIMATED:

6/8/2010

FUNDING DATA

<u>Chapter / Iten</u>	1	Phase	<u>Amount</u>	Totals
Fund Transfers N/A		0	\$0	
Total Funds Transferred		en e	•	\$0
Funds Available Not Transferred		٠.		•
Total Funds Available not Transfer	red .	÷		\$0
Total Funds Transferred and Availa	able			\$0

#### ESTIMATE NOTES

- 1. The construction costs in this estimate are indexed from the CCCI Index as of the date of estimate preparation to the CCCI index that is current as of MAY 1, 2010. The project estimate is then escalated for a 13 month period to an assumed construction midpoint. Additionally, the project has been escalated to the assumed start of construction.
- 2. Estimated costs in this estimate are indexed from the CCCI Index as of the date of estimate preparation. The project estimate is then escalated to the scheduled start of construction and then to an assumed construction midpoint in accordance with Budget Letter BL 06-23.
- 3 Agency Retained:

· \$0
\$1,821,900
\$678,000
\$26,000
\$0
\$0

<u> </u>					
0				,	\$0
0	*				\$0
0 .					\$0
0	٠.	•	•		\$0
0					\$0
0					\$0

Total

\$2,525,900

<sup>4</sup> Project goal is to achieve LEED Silver Certification.

## DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT SCHEDULE

### **DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECT SCHEDULE**

The project schedule is presented each time the project goes to the SPWB. The dates of the major milestones are presented (i.e. expected date of preliminary plan approval, completion of working drawings and completion of construction).

To develop this information, the CDCR FASS Division will review project schedule information provided by county and CSA and will notify county of any questions and/or additional information required (approximately 2 weeks). The dates needed are listed below:

### Design-Bid-Build

- Complete preliminary plans and submit to CSA/CDCR
- Completion date of CEQA
- · Complete working drawings and submit to CSA/CDCR
- Proceed to bid date
- Contract award date
- Construction completion date

### Design-Build

- Complete performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings and the associated RFP and submit to CSA/CDCR
- Completion date of CEQA
- Date for issuance of Request for Proposals
- Design-build contract award date
- Construction completion date

## STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD AGENDA ITEMS

### STATE PUBLIC WORKS BOARD AGENDA ITEMS

On behalf of each county project, the CDCR acts as the requestor of any required SPWB action. The CDCR FASS Division will prepare a SPWB agenda item for each action that is presented to the SPWB. The agenda item describes the action to be taken and supporting information, as well as general information about the project's scope, cost, and schedule.

The following items are required to be submitted to the CDCR FASS Division to prepare the various SPWB and/or Finance actions. These tasks have been broken out for design-bid-build and design-build projects below.

CDCR FASS Division will prepare the SPWB agenda item and forward to Finance. SPWB agenda items are generally due to Finance approximately 5-6 weeks prior to the scheduled SPWB Meeting (the SPWB Meeting Calendar can be found at the following link:http://www.spwb.ca.gov/). As indicated on that calendar, CDCR must submit the agenda item to Finance during the first week of the prior month to the SPWB meeting. Therefore, the county must submit requested information to the CDCR FASS Division no later than the 15<sup>th</sup> of each month. For example, in order to make the December SPWB meeting, the county needs to provide the CDCR FASS Division information by October 15 so CDCR FASS Division can prepare the agenda item and submit to Finance by the first week of November.

### Design-Bid-Build Tasks

Task 1
SPWB Agenda Item to Establish Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule
SPWB Agenda Item to Approve Resolution Authorizing Interim Financing

SPWB Project Scope	One to three paragraphs describing the project. See section on SPWB project scope for more information.						
Project Schedule	Schedule for each phase of the project (acquisition completion, preliminary plans, working drawings, and construction). See section on Project Schedule for more information.						
Detailed Cost Estimate	See section on Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate) for more						
by Phase	information.						
CEQA	Statement that describes the current status of CEQA and status of any litigation regarding CEQA.						
Real Estate Due	Due Diligence approval letter from DGS.						
Diligence							
PDCA	The county signs PDCA, Certificate of Matching Funds and related exhibits. Additionally, the CSA agreement must be signed prior to SPWB meeting.						

Task 2
SPWB Agenda Item to Approve Preliminary Plans and Proceed to Working Drawings

provo i romaniary i mino una i roccou to monang branango
Confirm project is within the established scope.
Updated project schedule.
Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed
for any changes in the established project cost.
Detailed construction cost estimate (Attachment 6).
Documentation that CEQA is completed.
1 set of preliminary plans.

Task 3
SPWB Agenda Item to Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry

SPWB Project Scope	Confirm project is within the established scope.				
Project Schedule	Updated project schedule.				
Detailed Cost Estimate	Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed				
by Phase	for any changes in the established project cost.				
Consent to Ground	The county signs Ground Lease/Right of Entry/Easement				
Lease/Right of Entry	Agreement.				

A meeting with Finance, SPWB Counsel, CSA, DGS, and the county will be scheduled to discuss legal descriptions and exhibit sketches for both the county project site, and the Easement Agreement for access, utilities, and repairs, prior to finalizing the Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry Agreements.

Task 4
Pooled Money Investment Board (PMIB) Loan Request

Cash Flow	Twelve month cash flow projection for state financing. This is
	needed when a PMIB loan request is being submitted. See
	attached sample (Attachment 7).

Task 5
Finance Action to Approve Working Drawings and Proceed to Bid

Description of the work to be performed.						
Reconciliation of changes from preliminary plan estimate. See						
attached sample (Attachment 8).						
See attached sample (Attachment 9).						
Confirm project is within the established scope.						
Updated project schedule.						
Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed						
for any changes in the established project cost.						
1 set of working drawings and specifications.						

CDCR will certify that the County has satisfied all of the requirements set forth in statute for the financing of the county project. This certification, along with all other applicable information listed above, must be submitted by CDCR to Finance either prior to or within 7-10 days after the PMIB Loan Request.

Task 6
Finance Action to Award Construction Contract (Bids within Construction Contract Authority)

Construction Contract (bids within Construction Contract Authority)
Updated project cost summary, including the contract award
amount.
List of Contract Bidders. This includes bidder's name and address; bid amount; small business preference (if required by the county); bidder's security information. See attached sample (Attachment 10). If construction contract is not awarded to the lowest bidder, the county must identify the statute that provides authority to award the contract to another bidder.
Updated project schedule.
If Board of Supervisors approval is required to award the construction contract, then the county shall provide documentation of Board approval.

Please note that if construction bids come in higher than estimated and the project costs increase, a review of the county's cash match will need to be performed again and a new certificate executed prior to the approval to award the construction contract.

### **Design-Build Tasks**

Task 1
SPWB Agenda Item to Establish Project Scope, Cost, and Schedule
SPWB Agenda Item to Approve Resolution Authorizing Interim Financing

SPWB Project Scope	One to three paragraphs describing the project. See section on SPWB project scope for more information.						
Project Schedule	Schedule for each phase of the project (acquisition, performance criteria or performance criteria and concept drawings and designbuild). See section on Project Schedule for more information.						
Detailed Cost Estimate by Phase	See section on Project Cost Summary (3-page estimate) for more information.						
CEQA	Statement that describes the current status of CEQA and status of any litigation regarding CEQA.						
Real Estate Due Diligence	Due Diligence approval letter from DGS.						
PDCA	The county signs PDCA, Certificate of Matching Funds and related exhibits. Additionally, the CSA agreement must be signed prior to SPWB meeting.						

Task 2
SPWB Agenda Item to Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry

SPWB Project Scope	Confirm project is within the established scope.
Project Schedule	Updated project schedule.
Detailed Cost Estimate	Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed
by Phase	for any changes in the established project cost.
Consent to Ground	The county signs Ground Lease/Right of Entry.
Lease/Right of Entry	

A meeting with Finance, SPWB Counsel, CSA, DGS, and the county will be scheduled to discuss legal descriptions and exhibit sketches for both the county project site, and the Easement Agreement for access, utilities, and repairs, prior to finalizing the Consent to Ground Lease/Right of Entry Agreements.

Task 3
SPWB Agenda Item to Approve Performance Criteria or Performance Criteria and Concept Drawings and Construction

SPWB Project Scope	Confirm project is within the established scope.
Project Schedule	Updated project schedule.
Detailed Cost Estimate	Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed
by Phase	for any changes in the established project cost.
Preliminary Estimate	Detailed construction estimate (Attachment 6).
CEQA	Documentation that CEQA is completed.
Performance Criteria/	1 set of performance criteria or performance criteria and concept
Concept Drawings	drawings.

Task 4

Pooled Money Investment Board (PMIB) Loan Request

Cash Flow	Twelve	month	cash	flow	proje	ection	for	state	financing.	This	is
	needed	when	a PN	MB I	oan	reques	t is	bein	g submitted	l. Se	ee l
	attached	d sampl	le (Att	achm	ent 7	')					

Task 5

**Finance Action to Approve Request for Proposals** 

1 mande Meneri te Mele	
Scope of Request for	Description of the work to be performed.
Proposals	·
Project Milestone	See attached sample (Attachment 9).
Schedule	
Scope	Confirm project is within the established scope.
Project Schedule	Updated project schedule.
Detailed Cost Estimate	Updated project cost summary. A narrative justification is needed
by Phase	for any changes in the established project cost.
Request for Proposals	1 set of Request for Proposal Documents.

CDCR will certify that the County has satisfied all of the requirements set forth in statute for the financing of the county project. This certification, along with all other applicable information listed above, must be submitted by CDCR to Finance either prior to or within 7-10 days after the PMIB Loan Request.

Task 6

Finance Action to Award Design-Build Contract (Bids within Design-Build Contract Authority)

Detailed Cost by Phase	Updated project cost summary, including the design-build award amount.
RFP Results	List of Proposers. This includes the proposed contractor to whom the award will be made, along with a written decision supporting the proposed contract award and stating the basis of the award. In addition, the list should include the county's second and third ranked design-build entity.
Project Schedule	Updated project schedule.
Board of Supervisors Approval	If Board of Supervisors approval is required to award the design- build contract, then the county shall provide documentation of Board approval.

Please note that if the project costs increase, a review of the county's cash match will need to be performed again and a new certificate executed prior to the approval to award the construction contract.

			1.50		
CDCR- LAC 150 EOP Treatment and Office Space Design Development Estimate			ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNG 2730 GATEWAY OAKS, #110	ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNGMNT, INTL 2730 GATEWAY OAKS, #110	_1
COST COMPONENT SUMMARY	T SUMMARY		SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 916.925.4000	A 95833	
ARCHITECT:	GROSS SF: 14,257 DATE: 12-Mai	SS SF: 14,257 DATE: 12-Mar-10		GROSS SF: 14,257 DATE: 12-Mai	SS SF: 14,257 DATE: 12-Mar-10
CSI DIVISION GFA	LEED ESTIMATE	\$/GSF	VARIANCE	BASE ESTIMATE	\$/GSF
SITE WORK	\$998,216	\$70.02		\$998,216	\$70.02
(N) ENHANCED OUTPATIENT BUILDING 14,257	\$4,844,435	\$339.79	(\$624,525)	\$4,219,910	\$311.16
SUBTOTAL BUILDING 14,257	\$5,842,651	\$409,81	(\$624,525)	\$5,218,126	\$366.00
MATERIAL TAX 8.75%	\$303,190	\$21.27	(\$34,746)	\$268,444	\$18.83
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION	\$6,145,841	\$431.08	(\$659,271)	\$5,486,570	\$384.83
	•	\$43.11 \$35.56	(\$175,658) (\$62,620)	\$438,926	\$30.79
	15% \$876,398 3% \$244.316	\$61.47	(\$93,679)	\$782,719	\$54.90
	3% \$587,172 3% \$580,000	\$41.18	(\$71,468)	\$214,579	\$15.05
SUBTOTAL MARK UPS	¥	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	(\$77,73)	\$236,487	\$16.59
CONSTRUCTION - TODAY'S DOLLARS	\$9,244,602	\$648.43	(\$1,125,205)	\$2,632,827	\$184.67
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION	\$9,244,602	\$648.43		\$8.119.398	02.000
	\$9,244,602			\$8,119,398	

			,257			TOTAL	\$3,420 \$4D	\$4,300	\$79,400 \$600	\$5,760	\$2,400	\$1,020	\$59,594 \$4,007	\$83.600	\$30.000	\$6,383 \$4,000 \$1,900	\$12,600	\$23,782	\$3,658	\$19,542	\$4,120	\$4.860	\$1,424	\$7,452		\$4,200	\$7,168	\$1,620
			PREVIOUS GROSS SF: 14,257			UNIT TOTAL	\$4.50	\$3.00	\$20.00	\$18.00	\$6.00 \$8.00	\$170.00	\$28.50		\$2,00	\$27.75 \$4,000.00 \$950.00	\$1,050.00	\$103.40	\$52.25	\$9,771.20	\$2,060.20	\$405,00	\$712,00	\$1,064,50	-	\$1,050,00	\$3,584.15	\$539.85 \$3,000,00
			PREVIOUS		BASE COST	1S		\$15.00	\$125.00	\$45.00	\$1.50	985.00	\$6.50 \$6.50 \$0.15	\$15.205	\$1.00	\$17.25 \$1,500.00 \$500.00	\$500.00	\$20.00	\$15.00	\$1,285.90	\$500.00	\$120.00	\$185.00	\$267.50		\$500.00	\$1,616.35	\$1,500.00
					BAS	MAYERIALS	51.50 01.00	\$5.00	\$10.00	\$15.00	\$5.00	00,584	\$22.00	. \$47.396	\$1.00	\$10.50 \$2,500.00 \$450.00	\$550.00	\$83.40	\$37.25	\$8,485.30	\$1,560,20	\$285.00	\$527.00	\$797.00		\$550.00	51,967.80	\$434,85
SMNT, INT						TIND	5 5		# # !	- % j	R I	5 2	CYDS SF	BLDGSE	ı,	. 7. Z.	207	55	<u>"</u>	េស	⊴ ១	ស :	5 A	<b>៩</b> ៩	···	<u> </u>	ផដ	5 2
SMENT MNG	CA 95833					QUAN.	85 62	27,000	3,970	8 -	200	2	2091	14,257_BLDGSF	15000	230	12	300	2 5	~	ΝΨ	5. 0	7 -	r 4		7 00		
ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNGMNT, INTL	SACRAMENTO, CA 95233 (916) 925-4000					TOTAL VAR				-				· 1000年1000年		,												
	, ,, _				VARIANCE	LABOR						Salar Salar		ACCORDANCE OF THE PARTY OF THE														
					VARI	MATERIALS						Part and Control									-							
						QTY VAR			-			\$1000000000000000000000000000000000000	·	A THE SECTION AS														٠.
			14,257			COST/GSF	\$0.24	\$5.68	\$0.04	\$0.40	\$0.17 \$0.11 \$0.07	5.5.514.10	\$4.18 \$0.28	1-0-54.46	\$2.10	\$0.45 \$0.28 \$0.13	\$0.88	\$1.67 \$1.33	\$0.26 \$0.15	\$1,37	\$1.02	\$0.34	\$0.06	\$0.52 \$0.84		50.29	\$0.50	\$0.42
			GROSS SF: 14,257			TOTAL	\$3.420 \$40	\$4,300	\$600	\$5,760	\$2,400 \$1,600 \$1,020	\$201,050	\$59,594 \$4,007	A 25 \$63,600	\$30,000	\$6.383 \$4,000 \$1,900	\$12,600	\$23,782	\$3,658	\$19,542	\$4,120	\$4,860	\$301	\$7,452		\$4,200	\$7,168	\$6,000
						UNIT: TOTAL	\$4.50 \$2.00	\$20.00	\$150.00	\$60.00	\$6.00 \$8.00 \$170.00	18 (259 F) (250 S 100 S	\$28.50	A Section of the second	\$2,00	\$27.75 \$4,000.00 \$950.00	\$1,050.00	\$63.35	\$52.25	\$9,771.20	\$3,650.00	\$405.00	\$901,00	\$1,064,50		\$1,050.00	\$3,584,15	\$3,000,00
						UNIT COST	\$3.00 \$1.00	\$15.00	\$125.00	\$45.00 \$5,000.00	\$1.50 \$3.00 \$85.00	\$117,156	\$6.50 \$0.15	\$16,205	\$1.00	\$17.25 \$1.500.00 \$500.00	\$500.00	\$20.00	\$15,00 \$12,00	\$1,285.90	\$800.00	\$120,00	\$274.00	\$1,500,00		\$500.00 \$16,50	\$1,616,35	\$1,500.00
	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT ESTIMATE					MATERIALS	\$1.50	\$5.00	\$25.00	\$15,00	\$4.50 \$5.00 \$85.00	139		\$47,396	\$1.00	\$10.50 \$2,500.00 \$450.00	\$550.00	\$46.35	\$37.25 \$18.50	\$8,485,30	\$2,850.00	\$285.00	\$627.00	\$1,500.00		\$29.35	\$1,967,80	\$1,500.00
	OPMENT					TIND	558	<sub>ይ</sub> ጉ ኤ	<u>a</u> 5	SF	* 7 5	BLDGSF	υ	BLDGSF	rg.	LF LOT EA		5 55 5							5	3 5		_
	3N DEVEL			411111111111111111111111111111111111111	CER	OUAN.	760 28	3,970	640	96	400 200 6	- 14,257 BL	2091	14,257_BLI	15000	230	12	300	2 2	~ ~	. 4		- 1	4	•	500	N (O	2
CDCR-LAC 150 EOP Treatment and Office Space SITE WORK	DESIG			NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS		DESCRIPTION	DEMOLITION - SITE SANCUT AG PAVING REMOVE CORB AND GUTTER REMOVE AG PAVING	REMOVE FENCING REMOVE (E) BLDG - METAL W. FOUNDATION AND PAG	REMOVE (E) BOLLARDS REMOVE (E) SS/DW/GAS PIPING	CUT OPENING IN (E) CMU WALL MISCELLANEOUS DEMO! DISPOSAL	ENGRANGON ROL STABLIZED CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE SILT FENCING/WATTLES DROP INLET PROTECTION	SUBTOTAL-DEMOLITION	PAD PREPARATION ENGINEERED FILL TO BLOG PAD - 3'D FINE GRADING TO SUB GRADE	SUBTOTAL-PAD PREPARATION	SITE UTILITIES STORIA DIPAIN	GAS LINE 1.5° GAS LINE GPRJ SOV POINT OF CONNECTION TO (E) UTILITY	DOMESTIC! FIRE WATER POTHOLING MATER 1 MIC 122	WATER LINE-6	VANTER LINE 4	BACKFLOW PREVENTION DEVICE - 6" FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION	FIRE HYDRANT	BOLLAKUS GATE VALVE W. VALVE BOXES - 2.5"	GATE VALVE W, VALVE BOXES - 4"	POINT OF CONNECTION TO (E) UTILITY	SANITARY SEWER	SEWER LINE - 6"	CLEAN OUTS TO GRADE - 6"	POINT OF CONNECTION TO (E) UTILITY
LAC 150 E0 JORK						SYSTEM	0	TEED		LEED	CEED LEED LEED	1.0		14.0														
CDCR.		<del></del>		ARCHITECT:		TRADE						205.0		220.0														

CDCR. 1 AC 150 F	CDCR. LAC 450 FOR Treatment and Office Cours																		I
SITE WORK	or meanings and Office chare										-	ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNGMNT, INTL	SMENT MNC	SMNT, INTL					
							•				-,-	2730 GATEWAY DAKS, #110	DAKS, #110						-
	DESIG	IN DEVELC	)PMEN'i	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT ESTIMATE							- •	SACRAMENTO, CA 95333	CA 95833						
							•				_	a lej 925-4000							
											•								
										:	-								
,							GROSS SF: 44,257	14,257							***	PREVIO	PREVIOUS GROSS SE: 44.257	44.967	
ARCHITECT:	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS														•				
	0337	LEED CERTIFIED	D COST	_						VARIANCE	INCE		ľ		BAR	RASECOST			1
					UNITCOST		TOTAL							-	20	0E CO31			T
TRADE SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION	OUAN.	TINO	MATERIALS	LABOR	UNIT TOTAL	COST	COSTIGSF	QTY VAR	MATERIALS	LABOR	TOTAL VAR	QUÁN.	UNIT	MATERIALS	UNIT COST	UNIT TOTAL	TOTAL	
	SITE POWER REQUIREMENTS NEW POWER SERVICE													╫				L	Т
	REMOVE ELECTRICAL FEEDER	370	5	\$15.00	\$15,00	\$30.00	\$11,100	\$0.78					37	· <u>u</u>	. 5	20.00			
	RE-ROUTE FEEDER	270	<u>ٿ</u> و	\$350,00	\$150.00	\$500.00	\$135,000						270	. 5	\$350,00	\$150,00	\$500.00	\$135,000	8 8
	ELECTRICAL MANHOLE	N C	20 4	\$1,500,00	\$1,500.00	\$3,000,00	\$6,000	\$0.42					7	D S	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$3,000,00		8
	TRENCH! BACKFILL CONCRETE CAP	320	5 5	\$2,205.07	\$448.8/	\$2.733.94	\$5,468			•			7	చ	\$2,285.07	\$448.87	\$2,733.94		88
	POC TO (E) UTILITY	۳	<b>5</b>	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000	\$0.21					350	<u> </u>	\$35.00	\$30.00	\$65.00		92
	SECONDARY - 800A (NORMAL AND EMERGENCY)	700	<b>F</b>	\$54.28	\$17.50	871.78	\$50,246	\$3.52					- 002	5 <u>"</u>	\$1,500.00	51,580.00	53,000.00		8 9
	UTILITY METER CABINET	-	20	\$1,500,00	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000	\$0.21					F	i O	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000	9 8
	SITE COMMUNICATION																		
	TERMINATION/ CONNECTION OF LV CABLING TRENCH/ RACKELL/ CONCRETE CAB	12	¥ :	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$18,000	\$1.26					12	. á	\$500.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,500,00	\$18.000	g
	LOW VOLTAGE CABLING - (MATV, INTERCOM, CCTV.	2	<u>.</u>	\$25.00	\$25.00	\$50.00	\$8,500						170	5	\$25.00	\$25,00	\$50.00		8
	PAGING, SPECIAL)	5,	5	\$25,00		-	\$6,800						170	<u></u>	\$25.00	515 m	540.00	000	-
	(TELEPHONE CABLING ONLY -(FA)	8 '	5 i	\$3.00			\$720	\$0.05					8	<u> </u>	23.00	\$5.00			27.20
		7	ш Ж	\$2,285,07	\$448.87	\$2,733,94	\$5,468	\$0.38					2	Æ	\$2,285,07	\$448.87	\$25	~	89
277.0 15.0	SUBTOTAL-SITE UTILITIES	14,257 B	BLDGSF	\$309,092	\$163,479	\$1000 SECTION OF SECTION SECTI	\$472,571	Barg \$33.15	ALCOHOL: NA		3000000	9.4	14.257	BLDGSF	\$308.092	\$167.478		6477.674	E
	CHAIN LINK FENCING- 12' H	490	u,	\$150.08	\$120.00	00 0263	4127 200	90.00										L	Ī
	RAZOR TAPE	490	F.	\$11.50	\$10.00	\$21.50	\$10.535	33.28					£ 5	<u>.</u>	\$150.00	\$120.00	\$270.00		8
	C.L. GATES-WI PANIC HRDWR - 8W	m	ឥ	\$10,200,00	\$1,200.00	\$11,400,00	\$34,200						D 62		511.50	\$10.00	\$21.50	\$10,535	8
	C.L. GATES-W/PANIC HRDWR - 4W		S i	\$5,060,00	\$800,00	\$5,860.00	\$5,860						-	-	\$5,060.00	\$800,00	\$5,850,00		3 6
	(N) HARDSCAPE	13.50	¥ u	53,000,00	\$600,00	\$3,600.00	\$3,600	\$0.25					-		\$3,000,00	\$600.00	\$3,600.00		暴
	ENTRY APRONS/ LANDINGS	1,000	, E	\$3.00	\$3.50	\$6.50	\$6,500	54./3					13,500	ry i	\$2.50	\$2,50	\$5.00		용
*******	CURB AND GUTTER	20	۳.	\$18,50	\$6.00	\$24.50	\$490	\$0.03					200	<u> </u>	\$3.00 \$18.50	\$3.50 \$6.00	\$6.50 \$24,50	~	6,500 \$490
299.0 18.0	16.0 SUBTOTAL GENERAL SITEWORK	74,257.BI	BLDGSF	\$154,915	\$106.070	The Company of the Company	STATE OF THE ORK	ASSESSION OF THE PARTY.	A CONTRACTOR SECURITY		V 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10								
	SUBTOTAL(MATERIALLABOR)			1	ı		\$998,216		The state of the s		The state of the s	and the state of t	14,257 BLDGSF	II DGSF	\$595,307,53	\$402 808 48		\$260,985	98 K
	MATERIAL TAX		8.75%				\$52,089	\$3.65											2 9
	GENERAL CONDITIONS		10%				100											egn'yee	n e
	CONTINGENCY		8%	_			\$ 165,031	16,76 GE 08				\$21,006	-	%8	•			\$84,024	74
	LOSS OF PRODUCTION - SECURITY		15%				\$149,732					5/5,14	-	3,4		*		\$85,075	375
	BONDS AND INSURANCE		3%				\$41,752					\$677		3,5				5149,732	7 7
	CONTRACTOR'S FEE		7%				\$100,343					\$1,628		*		-		\$88,715	1 2
	CONST. LEMOS						\$46,014	53.23				\$747		3%				\$45,268	892
	SUBTOTAL-HARDCOST	14,257 BI	BLDGSF				\$1,579,827	\$110.81				\$25,634	14.257 BLDGSF	BLDGSF				C1 554 104	1
		,						Application of the last	Constitution of the last	Charles and the last of the la		TOWNS THE PERSON NAMED IN						******	1

7

CDCF	CDCR- LAC 150 EOP Treatment and Office Snace	Shace							
Drogs	om Trootment and Office Ones								
<u> </u>	riogiam Teament and Office Space		•						
<del>ur volvin</del>	TRADES	TRADE SYSTEM SUMMARY	IARY					GROSS SF: 14,257	4,257
								DATE: 1	DATE: 12-Mar-10
TRADE	SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	BASE				COST PER		COST PER	SYSTEM!
310.0	1_	940 440		DIFFERENCE	%	BLDGSF	- 1	SYSTEM	BLDGSF
310.0		049,449 0444 OFG	549,449		%6	\$3.47		\$3.47	1.000
310.0		\$114,U30	\$114,056 647,220	<b>~</b> (	%0	\$8.00		\$1.87	4.269
		\$12,329 \$175 834	\$12,329 \$47F 694	D C	ò	\$0.86	3,289 CYDS	\$3.75	0.231
410.0	4.0 SUBTOTAL-EXTERIOR WALLS	8440 444	8600 130	450 605	0,00	\$12.33	- 1		
410.0		\$387.689	\$387,689	000'661	%0 <b>7</b>	\$42.72	10,850 SF	\$56.14	0.761
		\$837,133	\$996.818	159.685	, <b>45</b> %	\$50.00 \$60.00		\$27.02	700.1
550.0	2.0 SUBTOTAL-MISC. METALS	\$33.210	\$33,210	c	%	42.32	14 257 B! DOE	00.00	000
	- 1	\$440,067	\$440,067	0	%0	\$30.87		\$2.35	000.
720.0		\$11,484	\$11,484	0	%0	\$0.81	13 510 SF	\$0 85	0.049
760.0	5.0 SUBTOTAL-ROOFING	\$215,125	\$241,765	26,640	11%	\$16.96		\$16.96	1,000
790.0		\$28,514	\$28,514	0	%0	\$2.00		\$2.00	1 000
9	DIVISION 7 TOTAL	\$255,123	\$281,763	26,640	%6	\$19.76			
810.0		\$55,740	\$55,740	0	%0	\$3.91	8 DRS	\$6.967.50	0.001
810.0		\$183,139	\$183,139	0	%0	\$12.85		\$3.521.90	0.004
830.0		\$226,080	\$226,080	:	%0	\$15.86		\$180.00	0.088
840.0	6.0 SUBTOTAL-INTERIOR GLAZING	\$66,240	\$66,240	0	%0	\$4.65	828 SF	\$80.00	0.058
3	DIVISION 8 TOTAL	\$531,199	\$531,199	0	%0	\$37.26			
910.0	7.0 SUBTOTAL-DRYWALL, ACOUSTIC - CEILING	\$178,400	\$178,400	0	%0	\$12.51	11,150 SF	\$16.00	0.782
930.0		\$30,583	\$30,583	0	%0	\$2.15		\$23.89	060.0
950.0		\$54,018	\$54,018	0	%0	\$3.79		\$4.28	0.886
0.000	7.0 SUBIOIAL-FLOORING	\$119,185	\$119,185	0	%0	\$8.36	15,306 FLSF	\$7.79	1.074
990.0	DIVISION & TOTAL	\$77,611	\$77,611	O	%0	\$5.44	14,257 BLDGSF	\$5.44	1.000
10100		9409,197	181,804	0	%0	\$32.25			
1099.0	8.0 SUBTOTAL-MISCELLANFOLIS SPECIALTIES	\$10,230 \$14,083	\$10,230 \$14,083	<b>5</b> 6	%0	\$0.72		\$0.72	1.000
	DIVISION 10 TOTAL	\$24.313	626 242	<b>.</b>	%0	90.33	14,257 BLDGSF	\$0.99	1.000
1160.0	8.0 SUBTOTAL-CASEWORK	\$48.920	\$48 920		8 8	\$3.43	14 257 BI DOCE	62.42	000
	DIVISION 11 TOTAL	\$48 920	CAR 920	•	200	CF 006		33.43	1.000
1530.0	13.0 SUBTOTAL-FIRE PROTECTION	SRF 542	CRE 542		%0	54.43			
1544.0		210,000	240,000	<b>-</b>	%0	\$6.00		\$6.00	1.000
1546.0		9104,730	\$184,750	0 10	%0	\$12.96		\$7,105.76	0.002
		4000,910	3084,187	137,271	%07	\$48.69	14,257 BLDGSF	\$48.69	1.000
1610.0	49 0 SUBTOTAL ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	\$827,208	\$964,479	137,271	14%	\$67.65			
2		\$620,318	\$921,247	300,929	33%	\$64.62	14,257 BLDGSF	\$64.62	1.000
TOT.	DIVISION 16 IOIAL	\$620,318	\$921,247	300,929	33%	\$64.62	•		•
2 2	SUBCONTRACT COST	\$4,219,910	\$4,844,435	624,525	13%	\$339.79			

Program Tre	Program Treatment and Office Space					- ,			٠	`			ENGLER	ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNGMNT, INTL	MNGMNT, IN	2	
	Print Part Control Con																
	•												2730 GA	2730 GATEWAY OAKS DR, SUITE 110	DR, SUITE 11(		
													SACRAMENTO. V. 916.925.4000	SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 V. 916.925.4000	£23		
	DESIGN DE	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT	IT ESTIMATE	TE .											•		
							GB088 86: 44 287	, 73C V+									٠.
ARCHITECT:	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS						DATE	12-Mar-10							<b>.</b>		14,257 12-Mar-10
				121	LEED CERTIFIED COST	ED COST	10	J. Moreno		CIABIDAY						BY: J. I	J. Moreno
					UNIT COST		TOTAL		}	VARIANCE				BA	BASE BLDG	-	
IRADE SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION	QUAN.	UNIT	MATERIAL	LABOR	UNIT COST	COST	COST/ GSF	VAR	MAT VAR VAR	YAR VAR	QUAN.	UNIT	MATERIAL	LABOR	UNIT COST	TOTAL
	EXCAVATION TO FOOTINGS	5	900	0000	100.00					_							
	CONTINUOUS FOOTINGS SPREAD FOOTINGS	. 8 4	S CXDS	\$250.00	\$250.00	\$500.00	\$43,000	\$3.02			. '	8 93	CYDS	\$20,00	\$20.00	\$500.00	\$3,644
		,	3	9230,00	9300,00	9200.00	\$2,805			_				\$250.00	\$300.00	\$550,00	\$2,80
310.0 3.0	SUBTOTAL-CONCRETE_B value and the supplementation	5 16 July 20	сурѕ	\$24,597	\$24,852	The second secon	\$48,449	\$3,47				jė 💮	CYDS	\$24,597	\$24,852		\$49,449
	4" SOG W. #4 @ 18" OCEW	14,257	SF	\$4.00	\$4.00	\$8.00	114,056.00	\$8,00	_ -	-	-	14 257	ų	00.43	00.73	100 00	
310.0 3.0	SUBTOTAL-SLAB ON GRADE	14 257	: E	447.030	#E7 000							107,1		34.00	34.00	\$8.00	\$114,056
H	# 6	Paris Services	3 B		100 DZ0''0CC	Ashield areastable	5114,056	58.00	10 May 20 May 2	45 Contractor Section	School mail	14,257	FLSF	\$57,028	\$57,028	Property Charles Sales	\$114,056
421/SF		ю	CYDS	\$574,58	\$893.12	\$1,467.70	12,328.68	\$0.86			-		8 CYDS	\$574.58	\$893.12	\$1,467.70	\$12,329
310.0 3.0	SUBTOTAL- STRUCTURAL CONCRETE CONTROLL CONCRETE CONTROLL	1,718	CYDS	\$4,826	\$7.502		12 42 32 328 GR	C CO BE	- A Company							_	
			H I			NO CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF T	15 TO			Ash dan sana a sana a	Company of the Compan	1,718	CYDS	\$4,826	\$7,502		\$12,329
HAZMAT	EXTERIOR CMU WALLS - 8" EXTERIOR WALL FIRBING - 3 5/8"	10850	E I	\$22.50	\$10.50	\$33.00	\$358,050	Ľ		-		10850	SF	\$22.50	\$10.50	\$33.00	\$358,050
	EFIS - 1.5"	10900	p 12	\$3.25	\$3.50	\$14.65	\$61,487	\$4.31	10,900		159.685			\$2.54	\$3.50	\$6.04	\$61,487
	BATT INSULATION: R-11 GYPSUM UNDERLAYMENT SUBSTRATE	10180	n n	50.45	\$0.30	\$0.75	\$7,635						S S	\$0.45	\$0.30	\$0.75	\$7,635
40			5	or l	25:19	64.63	217,226					7680		\$1.40	\$1.50	\$2.90	\$22,272
		ncg'nt	LO	5320,740	. \$288,389	Server Assessment	Sec. 5609,129	\$42.72	After Section	THE PERSON NAMED IN	\$159,685	5 10,850	SF	\$285,315	\$164,129		\$449,444
	HIGH IMPACT GYPSUM	18860	R	\$2.65	\$2.00	\$4.65	\$87,699	\$6.15		-		1885	1.	\$2.65	\$2.00	59 65	287 600
. <u>-</u>	GYPSUM BOARD- 5/8" TYPE X PLYWOOD- 1/2" FIRE TREATED	13490	r n	\$1.45	\$1.55	\$3.00	\$40,470	٠.				13490		\$1.45	\$1.55	\$3.00	\$40,470
	INTERIOR FRAMED WALLS - 3 5/8" @ 16" OC	14350	, p	\$2.54	\$4.15	\$6.69	\$96,002	\$5.09				1885		51.50	\$2.25	\$3.85	\$72,611
	INTERIOR FRAMED WALLS - 6" @ 16" OC	2250	R I	\$2.71	\$4.40	\$7.11	\$15,998					2250	, lb	\$2.74	\$4.40	\$5.59	\$15,998
$\dashv$		777	70	\$22.50	\$10.50	\$33.00	574,910					722		\$22.50	\$10.50	\$33.00	\$74,910
0.00		14,350	SE	\$193,337	\$194,352	A March Colonial	\$387,689	\$27.19	A Carecia de la		100 miles	14,350	SE	\$183,337	\$184,352	A Marie Comment	\$387,689
	COLUMN BASE CONNECTIONS	4	Æ	\$250,00	\$300.00	\$550.00	\$2,200			-		-	E	\$250.00	\$300.00	\$550.00	20 200
	IOBE STEEL COLUMNS WIDE FLANGE BEAMS	1660	188 197	\$2.86	\$1.50	\$4.36	\$7,238	:			_	1660	CBS.	. \$2.86	.\$1.50	\$4,36	\$7,238
	C12X20.7	220	<u> </u>	\$51.75	\$40.00	\$4,000,00	\$175,000	\$12.34				4 6		\$2,000.00	\$2,000,00	\$4,000,00	\$176,000
	CBX11.5	1060	5 !	\$28.75	\$30,00	\$58.75	\$62,275					1060		\$28.75	\$30.00	\$58.75	\$62,275
	EMBED. ANCHOR BOLTS TO LEDGERS	570	EA EA	\$2.45	\$1.69	\$4.14	\$22,356					2400		\$2.45		\$4.14	\$22,356
	MISCELLANEOUS STEEL CONNECTIONS		5	\$5.000.00	\$5,000.00	\$10,000,00	\$10,000	\$0.70				570	4 5	\$15.80	\$16.55	\$32.35 \$10.000.00	\$18,440
510.0 2.0	SUBTOTAL-STRUCTURAL STEEL	50,0	TON	\$162,844 \$155,850			\$318,693	\$22.35		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	550	20.02	Į.	1452 BAA			6240 602
	1111 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1								N. Carlo				1	TLOC 101 A.	000000	The second second second	20,00,00
		14,220	r?	\$4.95	\$1,25	\$6.20	\$88,164	\$6.18				14,220	-S	\$4.95	\$1.25	\$6.20	\$88,164
530.0 3.0	SUBTOTAL-METAL DECKS	14,220	SF	\$70,389	\$17,775	Section Control	\$88,164	\$6.18	And the second			14,220	SF	\$70.389	\$17,718		\$88,164
	SECURITY GRILLE TO ROOF! WALL PENETRATIONS BLOG HUNG CANOPY	318	r. r.	\$70.00	\$25.00	\$95.00	\$30,210	\$2.12			-	318	B SF	870.00	\$25.00	\$95,00	\$30,210
0005	$\neg$	3	5	2000	920,00	00.000	93,000					ω		\$30.00	\$20.00	\$50.00	\$3,000
	١	14.257	יייי														

Program Trea ARCHITECT:	Program Treatment and Office Space	•			٠						ENG 2730	ENGLER ASSESSMENT MNGMNT, INTL 2730 GATEWAY OAKS DR, SUITE 110	ENT MNGMNT, KS DR. SUITE 1	NTL	
RCHITECT:	•										2730	GATEWAY OAN	KS DR. SUITE 1	:	
RCHITECT:														2	
RCHITECT:							•				SAC V.91	SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 V. 916.925.4000	95833		
RCHITECT:	i company		. !												
RCHITECT:	DESIGN DE	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT ESTIMATE	IATE												
RADE SYSTER						GROSS SF: 14,257 DATE: 12-Mar	14,257 12-Mar-10							GROSS SF: 14,257	4,257
1 1	NACH I & LEWIS ARCHITECTS		!			BY: J.	J. Moreno							BY; J	J. Moreno
1.			<u>ال</u> ا	LEED CERTIFIED COST	DCOST			VAI	VARIANCE			8	BASE BLDG		
	DESCRIPTION	QUAN, UNIT	MATERIAL	UNIT COST LABOR	UNIT COST	COST	COST/ GSF	QTY . VAR MAT VAR	LABOR	TOTAL	OUAN. UNIT		UNIT COST	Tago	ATOT
	1 (v)							8	8			-	Sec.	10001	1014
	BATT INSULATION- ACQUISTICAL	13510 SF	\$0.30	\$0.55	\$0.85	\$11,484	\$0.81				13510 SF	50.30	\$0.55	\$0.85	\$11,484
720.0 6.0	SUBTOTAL-INSULATION, INT. WALL COST GOODER,		\$4,053	\$7,431		\$11,484	18/08	Sales and a second	1	The street of the street	13,510 SF	\$4.053	\$7.431		C11 484
1 650	SINGLEDIY DOCEMO	-[												A Company of the Comp	*11.0
	RIGID INSULATION - 1,5"		53.50	\$3.00	36.50	\$93,600	\$6,57	- !			14400 S	\$3.50	\$3.00	\$6.50	\$93,600
	GYPSUM UNDERLAYMENT - 1/2"	14400 SF	\$1.60	\$0.65	\$2.25	\$26,640		14,400		26,640		_	\$0.50	\$1.85	
	BATT INSULATION - R-30		\$0.65	\$1.00	\$1.65	\$23,760	\$1.67				14400 S	\$1.60	\$0.65	\$2.25	\$32,400
	PARAPET CAP		\$12.50	\$10.00	\$22.50	\$1,350	\$0.09						\$10.00	\$22.50	\$1,350
	ROOF ACCESSORIES	14400 SF	\$6.50	\$7.00 \$1.00	\$13.50	\$4,455	50.31					_	\$7.00	\$13.50	\$4,455
	SKYLIGHTS	198 SF	\$85.00	\$55.00	\$140.00	\$27,720	51.94				198 SF		\$55.00	\$1.85	\$26,640
	ROOF WALK PADS	900 S	\$3.50	\$3.00	\$6.50	\$5,200	\$0.36					\$3,50	\$3.00	\$6.50	\$5,20
770.0 5.0	SUBTOTAL-ROOFING SYSTEMS.	14,400 s RESE	\$137,005	\$104,760	ASSESSED SERVICES	. \$241,765	\$16.96	ARCHING TORONS	- Sheep at a	\$26,640	14,400 RESE	SF \$117,565	\$97,560		\$215.125
CEED	CAULKING AND SEALANTS	14257 SF	5150	50.50	20 00	773000	5			-	ŀ	l -}			
$\dashv$			3	00.00	70.76	976.514	27.00			<del>-</del> ,	14257 S	SF 51.50	\$0.50	\$2.00	\$28,514
790.0 5.0	SUBTOTAL-CAULK & SEALANTS AND LOSG SECTIONS SERVICES	14,257 BLDGSF	\$21,386	S7,129	AMERICAN STREET	\$15,828,514	\$2.00		Sand Sand Sec.	M THE	14,257 BLDGSF	3SF \$21,386	\$7.128	The second second	\$28,514
	HM FRAMES- 4070- 14 GA		\$325.00	\$150.00	\$475.00	àn Ann	20.02	-				┢	-		
	HM DOORS- 4070- 14 GA		\$600.00	\$250.00	\$850.00	\$6,800	\$0.48					_	\$150,00	\$475.00	\$3,800
	SALLYPORT DOORS - 4070 - 12GA ADD. TRIPLE VISION PANCES SECURITY	2 L	\$5,205,00	\$2,620.00	\$7,825.00	\$15,650	51,10				E N	\$5,205.00		\$7.825.00	\$15,650
	ADD- FULL LOUVER- SECURITY		5750.00		\$750.00	\$3,750	\$0.26							\$750.00	\$3,75
	DRIP EDGE - SPECIAL		\$20.00	\$15.00	\$35.00	\$840	30.08					5450.00	144	\$450.00	006\$
	EXTERIOR DOOR HARDWARE		\$1,800.00	\$1,200.00	\$3,000,00	\$24,000	\$1.68						\$13.00	\$35.00	\$24,000
810.0 4.0	SUBTOTAL- DOORS/ FRAMES/ HDWR-EXT.	- I DRS	\$37.340	\$18.400		\$55.740	6101					┥.			
		l L							A Part of the Control	AC 30. 10. 10.	9 . UKS	337.340	518,400		\$55,740
	HM FRAMES- 3070- 16 GA HM DOORS- 3070- 16 GA	25 E	\$250.00	\$150.00	\$400.00	\$20,800	\$1.46				L	\$250.00	$\vdash$	\$400.00	\$20,80
	HOLDING CELL DOOR		\$6.244.00	\$2.500.00	\$625.00	\$42,900 SB 744	53.07						_	\$825.00	\$42,900
	ADD- VISION PANELS- 10" X 10"		\$250,00		\$250.00	\$10,750	\$0.75						52,500.00	\$8.744.00	\$10.750
	ACCESS DOORS	52 EA	\$1,500,00	0	\$1,500.00	\$78,000	\$5.47		-		52 EA	-		\$1,500.00	\$78,000
$\dashv$			0000	00.0016	900000	521,945	\$1.54					\$515,00	\$150.00	\$665.00	\$21,94
810,0 6.0	SUBTOTAL. DODRS/ FRAMES/ HDWR. INT.		\$154,889	\$28,250	nasi Rahulayasan	. 7.\$183,139	\$12.85	Tan Allanda Maria	Assessment		52 DRS	5 \$154,889	\$28,250		\$183,139
	SECURITY GLAZING	1256 SF	\$105.00	\$75.00	\$180.00	\$226,080	\$15.86			-	1256 SF	\$105.00	\$75.00	\$180.00	\$226,080
890.0 4.0	SUBTOTAL-EXTERIOR GLAZING/ STOREFRONT	1.256 SF	5131 RRD			- Con Section	. 646.00	-			_Ի	-	-		
					The second second second	0000000	0000	Marketin Commence	Admit of the state of	- 100 Care Care Care	1,256 SF	\$131,880	\$94,200	1 200 200	\$226,080
	INTERIOR GLAZING - TEMPERED	828 SF	\$40.00	\$40.00	\$80.00	\$66,240	\$4.65				828 SF	\$40,00	\$40.00	\$80.00	\$66,240
890.0 7.0	SUBTOTAL-INTERIOR GLAZING	828 SF	\$33,120	\$33,120	Albahasan Kante	\$66,240	\$4.65	Constant College		S. Daniel	828 SF	\$33,120	\$33,120	277	\$66,240
	SUSPENDED GYP BD CEILING O/ PLYO/ FRAMING	11150 SF	\$8.00	\$8.00	\$16,00	178,400.00	\$12.51				11150 SF	88.00	\$8.00	\$16.00	. S178 400
9200	SHIBTOTAL DEVINAL ACCUSETS CER NO		1000	1			_						Ų.		

Program   Treatment and Office Space	Program	n Treatme	ant and Office Space											_	ENGLER AS	SESSMENT	MNGMNT, IN	٠,	
	,		בונים כונים כבשנים																
MACHITE LEWING APPOINTED VIEW   Law   La			•				. •			•					2730 GATEV	VAY OAKS D	R, SUITE 110		
							•								SACRAMEN	TO, CA 9583	33		
MACHIT LEWIS ARCHITICATE   MACHIT LEWIS ARCHITICATE   MACHIT LEWIS ARCHITICATE   MACHITICATE   MAC															V. 916.925,4	000			
MACHIELDING MONTREINS   MACH			DESIGN DE	VELOPMENT !	STIMATI	цı							÷						
MACPIT LEDING ANCHITECTOR									GROSS SF:	14,257				-				10000	j
Figure   Property	ARCHITECT		CHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS						DATE	12-Mar-10								DATE: 12	.23/ -Mar-10
						TEEC	CERTIFIE	) COST				ARIANCE				DACE	00.10	H.	Moreno
Campoint The WALL   Campoint The MALL   Camp	ĺ	SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION			U ATERIAL	INIT COST	TSCOUL	1	1,000			1 .				.   _ [		
Control Table Note   Control					1		1			15031/63F	VAK MAI		•	OUAN.		1	7	NIT COST	TOTAL
Comparing the sease			RAMIC TILE- WALL		_	\$7.00	\$8.55	\$15.55	19,904.00		-			1280	100	\$7,00	\$8.55	\$15.55	\$19,90
1.00		GER	RAMIC TILE- BASE			\$7.50	\$10,00	\$18.50	2,280.00					160		\$8.50 \$7.50	\$10.00	\$18.50	\$8,39
Substitution   Subs	$\frac{1}{2}$	٦٠	STOTAL-CERAMIC TILE	_	- B	- 3		- 12 Sept. 15 Sept. 1		_	_								
STATION NATION		li						Colors Carlo abundans	5830,583	) Programa Program P	Section The Section	AND STREET	15 A. L.	1:280			\$16,584		\$30,58
The collision   The collisio		SUS	SPENDED TILE CEILING - 2X4		SF.	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$5.50	\$23,540	\$1.65	-	-		4280	r.	52.50	23.00	65.50	¢10 E4
This Duting New Account Care		7	JE UP TILE - CEILING		ъ	\$2.65	\$1.00	\$3.65	\$30,478					8350	-S	\$2.65	\$1.00	\$3.65	\$30,47
Legic province   Legi			BTOTAL-ACOUSTICAL TILE an season per consensus	100	- Carry		\$21,190		554,018					12.630	+	-	454.400	_	
This provides   This provide			I I CO IS	П	-	lŀ											130		10,954,01
RESILED CHACKEE    200   1			XXY FLOOR - TROWEL			\$4.05	\$1.65	\$5.70	38,965.20					6836		\$4.05	\$1.65	\$5.70	\$38,96
1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		RES	SILIENT BASE			\$1.50	\$1.50	\$3.00	5,850.00					1950		56.50	\$5.50	\$12.00	\$73,92
LEED PANHTY ODORNOR		κ E	ALED CONCRETE			\$1.00	\$0.25	\$1.25	450,00					360		\$1.00	\$0.25	\$1.25	\$45
LEED PANKTYODOORS		П	BTOTAL-FLOORING	1 5	ú	- 387	\$48,174	A SERVICE AS	\$119,185	18	Services and the services			15.306	_	+:	\$48 174		£110.10
Leep Porty WALCELLANOONE STATE   17,000   25,0	-		NT TO DOORS	Ĺ	-						THOUGHT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR								9118:10
Lied Park Lack Correctives   1949   5F   8035   8036   8135   8130   1940   1			IN TO DOORS 3XY WALL CEILINGS PAINT			\$58.00	\$300,00	\$358.00	21,480.00		-			09	EA	\$58.00	\$300.00	\$358.00	\$21,48
SEMERT OF EVERIOR   SEMERT OF EVER OF EV			INT - LATEX (WALL/ CEILINGS)			\$0.35	\$0.50	\$0.85	11,466.50					13490	ь и	50.55	\$0.80	\$1,35	\$24,59
Color   Colo		SEA	ALER TO EXTERIOR		ıı, i	\$0.65	\$1,10	\$1.75	18,987,50					10850		\$0.65	\$1.10	\$1.75	\$18,98
TOLIET PARTITIONS   A	$\dashv$						\$2.00	\$3,00	1.080.00		:			360		\$1.00	\$2.00	\$3.00	\$1,08
TOLIET PARTITIONS			DICIAL-FAMILIA TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	m,			\$51,876	The San of Company	\$77,611	de Contra	Proceedings of the sea	42500	10 - 10 mar	14,257		11	\$51,976		\$77,61
TOLIET PARATITIONS   TOLIET		TOIL	LET PARTITIONS - ADA	_	-	1,400.00		\$1,400.00	2,800,00			-		Ċ	-	1 400 00		00000	
TOLIET PAPER DISPENSERS   1 EA \$6500   24000		101	ILET PARTITIONS MAI SCIDEN			1,200.00		\$1,200.00	3,600.00					4 m		1,200,00		51,200.00	\$2,80 \$3,60
REAT COVER DISPERSIENS         SEA TOWNER DISPERSIENT DISPERSION NAME OF TOWNER DISPERSION NAME DISPER		5 0	HET PAPER DISPENSERS			5400.00		\$400.00	400,00					-		\$400,00		\$400.00	\$40
PAPER TOWEL DISPENSERS/ DISPOSAL   2	,	SEA	AT COVER DISPENSERS			\$65.00		\$65.00	325.00					LO L		\$65.00	·····	\$65.00	\$32
SANITARY MAPKIN DISPOSALE-SURFACE   3   EA   \$50.00   \$		PAP	PER TOWEL DISPENSERS/ DISPOSAL			350,00		\$350.00	700.00					b (4	-	350.00		\$65.00	\$32
SECOND   S		SAN	NITARY NAPKIN DISPOSALS- SURFACE AP DISPENSERS			\$50.00		\$50.00	150.00					ю		\$50.00		\$50.00	\$15
SS MIRRIOR   SS		GRA	AB BARS			350.00		5350.00	340.00					4 (		\$85,00		\$85.00	\$34
MIRRORS   2 EA \$120.00   5120.00   50.02   2 EA \$120.00   5120.00   50.02   2 EA \$120.00   512		SS	MIRRIOR			150.00		\$150.00	300,00					, (		3150.00		\$350.00	51,05
SUBTOTAL-TOILET ACCESSORIES   14.257   BLDGSF   S10,230   S17,720   S10,000   S17,720   S10,000   S17,720   S17,72		M.	RORS			\$120.00		\$120.00	240,00		-			8		\$120.00		\$120.00	\$24
SIGNAGE   SIGNAGE   ATTIC LADDER   SIGNAGE   ATTIC LADDER   ATTIC LADDER   ATTIC LADDER   SIGNAGE   SIGN		П	And the policy was and	14,257 BL		110,230	Seattle Continue	A Secuential Control	\$10,230	1	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	- Total   Total	(1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		BLDGSF	\$10.230			\$10.23
ATTIC LAODER ATTIC LAODER ATTIC LAODER BENCHES FIRE EXTINGUISHER - CABINET BENCHES BENCHES FIRE EXTINGUISHER - CABINET BENCHES BENCHES BENCHES FIRE EXTINGUISHER - CABINET FIRE EXTING		SIG	INAGE		L	277.74	00 039	70 207 6			-								
BENCHES   BENCHES   20   LF   \$88.00   \$135.00   \$0.19   \$0.		ATT	TIC LADDER			1,220.00		\$1,720.00	1,720.00					8		177.71		\$127.71	\$7,66
## EXTINGUISHER - CABINET   4 EA   \$500.00   \$504.00   \$0.14   4 EA   \$500.00   \$504.00   \$504.00   \$504.00   \$500.0		E E	NOHES		-	\$85.00		\$135,00	2,700.00					20	-	\$85.00		\$135.00	27,18
8.0 SUBTOTAL-MISCELLANEOUS SPECIALTIES 44.257 BLDGSF 39.583 \$4.500 \$4.500		FIRE	RE EXTINGUISHER - CABINET			\$500.00		\$500.00	2.000.00					4	_	\$500.00		\$500,00	\$2,72
	1050 0	7		-	_		-	-						_	· -				

Program   Technatic and Office States   Program   Prog	CDCR.		All PLIP I regiment and times chares										-						
	Progra	m Trea	tment and Office Space											Ū	NGLER AS	SSESSMENT	MNGMNT, IN	2	
								•	•					. 21	'30 GATEV	WAY OAKS D	R, SUITE 110		
														S	ACRAMEN	TO, CA 9583	22		
														>	916.925.4	0001			
Part			DESIGN DEV	/ELOPME	VT ESTIM	ATE													
NACTOR LEBING MACHINETYS   THE PRINCIPLE   T								-	GROSS SF:	14,257							Ö	ROSS SF: 14	,257
Fig.	ARCHITE	Ë	NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS						DATE: BY:	12-Mar-10 J. Moreno				٠	, V			DATE: 12	-Mar-10
The part of the						TE	ED CERTIFI	ED COST				VARIANCE				PAS	BLDG	6Y: J.	Moreno
END   DISTORY STATUTH CANADY NEW CANADY NE	TRADE	SYSTEM			-	MATERIAL	UNIT COST	1000 1101	TOTAL				_		$\vdash$		NIT COST	-	
E.S.   District Control Cont							NO COLO	ISON INC.	1000	COST/GSF	1		- 1	1	-		7	INIT COST	TOTAL
			CUSTODY STATION - DUAL HT CASEWORK	24	5	\$350.00	\$350.00	\$700.00	16,800.00		_	-		24	-	\$350,00	\$350.00	8700.00	\$ 16 800
EMERY CAMENING   EMER		CEED .	UPPER CABINET TO STAFF! FILE! BRK	16	5 E	\$250.00	\$200.00	\$450.00	23,175,00					25		\$250.00	\$200,00	\$450.00	\$23,175
This protections   This protec		PEED	MEDIA CABINET	4	a a	\$1,500.00		\$1,500.00	6,000.00				,	ф 4		\$140.00 \$1.500.00	\$50.00	\$190.00	\$2,945 \$6,000
The projection   The	1230,0	8.0	SUBTOTAL-CASEWORK CONTRACTOR OF THE SERVICE OF THE	14,257	BLDGSE	\$29,445	\$19,475	As a Construction of	\$48,920	\$3,43	- William Table		396			$\dashv$	\$19,475		\$48,920
Fire Providency   Fire Provi										0100000		-							
Including   Incl			FIRE PROTECTION	14257		\$3,00	\$3.00	\$6.00	\$85,542					14257	ng.	83.00	\$3.00	\$6.00	\$85,542
LED   CANYLOPIES WALL MPT   CASE   STATES   ST	1555,0	13.0	SUBTOTAL-FIRE PROTECTION CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	14,257	BLDGSF	S42.771	\$42.771		CBE 545	35793									
ILED   MAYTOREW WALL MOUNT   E. b. Strike SSSSOR   ST7726   ST77									360,500	100	2000 CONT. 2000	J. 107	C. C	14,257 B	.1	\$42,771	\$42,771	Section 1	\$85,542
E. S.			LAVATORIES-WALL MTD	4		\$845.00	\$277.83	\$1,122.83	54,491					4	-	\$845.00	\$277.83	\$1,122.83	\$4.491
LED SS NAVIRED CLOSET - WALL LITT   2			URINAL	n +		5950,00	\$250.00	\$1,200.00	\$6,000					· CO		\$950.00	\$250.00	\$1,200.00	\$6,000
LEED SIGNANCHY   LEED SIGNANCH   LEE		LEED	SS WATER CLOSET - WALL MT	- 2		\$2,872,30	\$229.69	\$3,101,99	ST,418			_		- (		\$1,168.15	\$250.00	\$1,418.15	\$1,418
STATION   STAT		LEED	SSLAVATORY	2	EA	\$1,135,55	\$229.69	\$1,365,24	\$2.730	\$0.19				7 6		\$2,872,30 \$1,135,55	\$229.69	53,101,99	\$6,204
Part			SS URINAL SERVICE SINKS	2 2	a i	\$1,320.60	\$272.60	\$1,593,20	\$3,186					1 72		\$1,320.60	\$272,60	51,593,20	\$2,730
Figure   F			DRINKING FOUNTAIN- HI LO	7 7		\$1,808.32	\$229.00	\$526,85.	\$1,658							\$599.85	\$229.00	\$828.85	\$1,658
Particle			DOUBLE SINK - SS	7		\$1,194,68	\$229,00	\$1,423.68	\$2,847							51,808,32	\$229.00	\$2,037.32 \$1,473.68	\$4,075
NETAMEDLE NAMER HATTER   1			FLOOR SINN ELECTRIC WATER HEATERS	2 12		\$441.05	\$185.00	\$626,06	\$1,252					2		5441.06		\$526.06	\$1,252
Chical Pulming   Chic			INSTANEOUS WATER HEATER	7 +		\$500,00	\$450.00	\$3,300,00	\$6,600	50.46				Ν τ		\$2,500,00		53,300.00	\$6,600
Figure Value   Figu			CIRCULATION PUMP	7	Ð	\$725.00	\$250.00	\$975.00	\$1,950					- 70		\$725.00	\$250.00	2975.00	8950
CAS PIPING   PRINCE			MIXING VALVE FLOOR DRAINS	67 6	ស្រ	\$2,638.90	\$257.60	\$2,896,50	\$5,793					8		\$2,638,90		\$2,896.50	\$5,793
FOUGH IN TO FIXTURES   FIX 5150.00   S.4.450.00   S.4.4			GAS PIPING	200	5 <u>5</u>	\$21,56	\$18.50	\$485,00	\$1,455	<u> </u>		<del> ,</del>	-	n (		\$285.00	_	\$485,00	\$1,455
The contribution of the			ROUGH IN TO FIXTURES	26		\$1,500.00	\$2,950.00	\$4,450.00	\$115,700					28 28		51,500.00	\$2.950.00	\$40.06 \$4.450.00	\$8,012
NATION   CONTROLS				S.	×	\$185,00	\$216,06	\$401.06	\$10,428					56		\$185,00	\$216.06	\$401.06	\$10,428
NAME   PROBLEM   13500   CFM   \$6.00   \$12.00   \$12.00   \$17.00	1500.0	10,0	SUBTOTAL-PLUMBING			Ses 248 Mg	\$95,502	Service design	. \$184,750	\$12.96	11 (A. 18)	Section (Section)		- 1	-	\$89,248	\$85,502	4	\$184,750
ARHANDLER ACKAGED ROLLIN DATA ACKAGAGA ROLLIN DATA ACKAGED ROLLIN DATA ACKAGAGA ROLL		_	HVAC - EQUIPMENT	A Company	"小爷!先生给	SANGERSON -	San San San	Machine S	54.00 E. S.		発信を持ち			1.00 mg					
Full Width   Full Stroke   Full Width   Full Stroke   Full Width   F			AIR HANDLER	13500		\$6.00	\$6.00	\$12.00	\$162,000	L	-	L	L	`	CFM	\$3.00	\$2.00	\$5.00	\$67.500
Collection   Col			SPI IT SYSTEM ACTINITY DATA BOOM	300	HBH -	\$105.00	\$20.00	\$125.00	\$37,500						<u></u>	\$105.00		\$125.00	\$37,500
VAN BOXES   120   CFM   VAN BOXES   120   CFM   S100   S100   S2000			ROOF EXHAUST FANS	1250	ا ان ان	\$20,500.00	\$5,000.00	\$25,500.00	\$25,500							20,500.00		25,500,00	\$25,500
CONTROLS  H257  FROMEHIN  LEED ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONING  H257  FROMEHIN  LEED ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONING  H257  FROMEHIN  H257			VAV BOXES	23	<u></u> 5	\$2,200.00	\$400.00	52.600.00	\$3,053							51.80	\$0.65	\$2.45	\$3,063
ROUGH IN   14.257   SF   \$15.00   \$2.20.00   \$2.28.5140   \$2.00.00   \$2.28.5140   \$2.00.00   \$2.28.5140   \$2.00   \$2.20.00   \$2.28.514   \$2.00   \$2.20.0		•	CONTROLS	14257	R	\$4.00	\$2.50	\$6.50	\$92,671			-	14,257	14257		\$3,00	\$400.00	\$2,600.00 \$5.50	\$59,800
LEED   ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONING			ROUGH IN	14 257	ų,	615.00	r E	c c	1000										5
11.0 SUBTOTAL-HVAC SYSTEM 14,287 BLOGSF		CEED	ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONING	14257		\$1.00	\$1.00	\$2.00	\$28,514			··· .	28,514	14,257		\$15.00	\$5.00	\$20.00	\$285,140
	1530.0	11.0		14.257	BLDGSF	\$470.990	\$223 197	2000 E COS	Sees Legan 107		1.00	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			- 1	-			

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT ESTITIANTE    Control of the c	: : : :	5	Program Treatment and Office Space												2730 GATE	2730 GATEWAY OAKS DB SHITE 110	DD CUITE 44		
MICHITALE MINERARCHITECTS   A															SACRAME	ENTO, CA 95	333		
NACHT & LENIS ARCHITECTS   ACCOUNT CALL DEPORT															V. 916.925	.4000			
NACHT &LENIS ARCHITECTS   ACCOUNTED BY A COUNTED BY A C			DESIGN DEVE	LOPMEN	T ESTIM/	\TE													
NACYTE LENNE ARCHITECTS   NACYTE LENNE ARC									GROSS SF: 1	14,257					į			GROSS SF 14 257	14 257
ENGINEERING   PROPER   PROPE	ARCHITECT:		NACHT & LEWIS ARCHITECTS						DATE:	12-Mar-10 I. Moreno								DATE: 1	DATE: 12-Mar-10
REGISTRATION   DEBCACPTION   DOUBLE   DEBCACPTION   DOUBLE   DOU						]	D CERTIFIE	D COST				VARIANC		-			2	BY:	J. Moreno
EACH COUNTY NAME   COUNTY NA							JAIT COST		TOTAL			ZNICHWY.		-	-	BA	5C 18170		
Control Cont		SYSTEM	DESCRIPTION	QUAN.		MATERIAL	П	UNIT COST		COST/ GSF						MATERIAL	UNIT COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL
Deciding		٦	FI FCTBICAL REQUIREMENTS	The second	200								1		ħ.				
POWER   POWE			TOTAL	1000	10 may 2 m			AND TOTAL		250,220,000 20					200				
Designation Provides				1425/	μ̈́	26.90	\$6.00	\$12.00	\$171,084	\$12.00				1425		\$6.00	\$6,00	\$12.00	\$171,084
PANEL REDIESS. MAIN   200   1   200500   500			POWER		,									-					
MICHIGE PERDITS - Grand		!	DISTRIBUTION PANELS-208V	825	AMP	\$17.30	\$10.02	\$27.32	\$22,541	\$1.58				82		\$17.30	210,012	657.75	£22 E44
MCCHANICAL FEEDERS-30A   15   572.00   578.03   578.03   578.04   572.00   578.03			PANEL PERDEXO-800A	8	<u>"</u>	\$355.50	\$40.00	\$395,50	\$31,640	\$2.22				ъ		\$355.50	\$40.00	\$395.50	534
MICHANICAL   FEDERIC-2004   1750   L   572.00   570.00			MECHANICAL FEEDERS- TO 70A	200	<u>.</u>	\$158.60	\$30.31	\$188.91	\$37,782	\$2.65				20i		\$158.60	\$30.31	\$188.91	\$37,782
PURPONICING CONTRECTORS   1			MECHANICAL FEEDERS- 30A	2 2	<u>.</u>	0.77.0	310.80	\$22.90	\$6,870	\$0.48				É		\$12.10	\$10.80	\$22.90	\$6,870
PURED DISCONNECTYS TO MECH EQUIP-TOA   2		J	GROUNDING	2 -	<u>.</u>	\$ 12.00	910.00	\$22.00	\$29,700	\$2.08				135		\$12.00		\$22.00	\$29,700
MOTORY CONNECTIONS			FUSED DISCONNECTS TO MECH, EQUIP- 70A	- 10	3 &	\$395.00	20,000,00	00,000,01	310,000	20.70					S.	\$5,000.00		\$10,000,00	\$ 10,000
CONDITETS   CONDITETS   Composition   Continuence   Cont			MOTOR CONNECTIONS	4	ă	\$75.55	\$245.54	\$321.00	\$1.00	30.12			-		EA	\$395.00	\$488.90	\$883.90	\$1,768
DUMPLEX OUTLETS   DUMPLEX OUTLETS   DUMPLEX OUTLETS   DUMPLEX OUTLETS   DUMPLEX OUTLETS   DUMPLEX OUTLETS   STAGE			GPLOUTLETS	- 69	ä	\$65.50	\$128.77	\$194.27	#583	20.05	•				A i	\$75.55	\$245.54	\$321.09	\$1,284
CONDUTIOND WIRE			DUPLEX OUTLETS	112	ă	\$50.74	\$100.40	\$191.14	\$21 40B	20.03						\$65.50	\$128.77	\$194.27	\$583
CONDUIT AND WIRE			QUAD OUTLETS	89	Ā	\$128.50	\$115,38	\$243.88	\$16.584	5 5		<del></del>				590.74	\$100,40	\$191.14	\$21,408
DATA   PERSONAL ALARM   14257   SF   S1.00   S1.00   S2.00			CONDUIT AND WIRE	4575	ㅂ	\$2,50	\$6.45	\$8.95	\$40,962	\$2.87				457		12 En	4 1 13.30	3243.00	P8C,014
PERSONAL ALARM   14257   SF   51.00   51.00   52.00   54.77   52.00   51.00   52.00   54.77   52.00   52.00   54.77   52.00   52.00   54.77   52.00   54.77   52.00   54.77   54.75			AT Y											<u>.</u>		3	2	08.99	340,352
NTECOMP PA   NTE			PERSONAL ALARM	14257	μ i	51.50	\$1.50	\$3.00	\$42,771	\$3,00				1425		\$1.50	\$1.50	\$3.00	\$42,771
MATV   MATV   MATV   MATRIAL   MATRIAL   MATERIAL   M			INTERCOM/ PA	14257	, V.	30.75	37.02	\$2.00	\$28,514	25.00				1425		\$1.00	\$1.00	\$2.00	\$28,514
FIFE ALARM   FIF			MATV	14257	. R	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$1.00	\$41,360	31.30				1425		\$0.75	50.75	\$1.50	\$21,386
LEED   PHOTOVOLTAICS   14257   SF   51.50   S1.00   S2.50			FIRE ALARM	14257	ış.	\$1.50	\$1.50	\$3.00	\$42,771	\$3.00				1425		\$1.50	\$0.50	51.00	\$14,257
TOTAL CHECTRICAL SYSTEM			DICTATION AND VIDEO CONFERENCING	14257	R	\$1.50	\$1.00	\$2.50	\$35,643	\$2,50				1425		\$1.50	\$1.00	\$2.50	535 643
120 SUBTOTALLECETRICALSYSTEM,   14,257, BLDGSF, \$601,266   \$1,371,717   \$4,044,435   \$397,034   \$27,432   \$1,4257 BLDGSF, \$334,052   \$1,4257 BLDGSF, \$1,4257				49	<u>×</u>	\$6,000.00	\$1,000.00	87,000.00	\$343,700	\$24.11	49		343,7			86,000,00	\$1,000.00	\$7,000.00	
MATERIAL TAX 8.75% 8.75% 52.869,719 \$1,974,717 \$48,644,35 397,094 227,432 \$5.472,625 \$2.472,625 \$81,044 \$15% \$1,974,717 \$15% \$100,80 \$251,100 \$100,80			Alpedia Addison	14,257	BLDGSF	\$607,266	\$313,980	- TO SECURITY	\$921,247	\$64.62	Sept. 100		\$300.9	_	' BLDGSF	1 1	\$286,266		\$620,318
TERIAL TAX 8.75% \$5251.100 \$509.554 \$154.652 89% 840.044 8175% \$509.554 \$5100 8175% 81754.652 89% 840.044 8175% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 89% 81754.652 81754.65			SUBTOTAL(MATERIAL/LABOR)				\$1,974,717		\$4,844,435		F		432	-	-	\$2,472,625	\$1,747,285		54 219 910
10% \$509,554 \$154,652 \$164,652 \$154,652		•	MATERIALTAX	•	8.75%				\$251.100										
15%   5154,652   515			GENERAL CONDITIONS		į									<del></del>					\$216,355
15% \$75,500 3% \$700,264 \$93,679 5702,264 \$29,679 5466,829 5466,829 5766,829 5766,829 5766,829 5766,829 5766,829 5776,810,665 5776,810,665 5776,810,675 5776,810 5776,8			CONTINGENCY		8%8			-	\$509,554				\$154,6	252	8%				\$354,901
3% \$300.264 \$350.073 7% \$486.829 \$486.829 \$89.839 3% \$223.246 \$223.246			LOSS OF PRODUCTION - SECURITY		15%				\$726.665				561,0	70 44	83%				5359,337
7% \$486.829 \$89.839 3% \$223.246 \$22.246			BONDS AND INSURANCE		3%				\$202,564				0,555	n g	, 26 8, 26 8, 26				5632,987
3% \$223.246 · · ·		<u>~ '</u>	CONTRACTOR'S FEE		2%				\$486,829				\$69,8	3 8	2,2				5416 990
The second secon			ESCALATION TO MID PT OF CONST 18MOS BID FEB. 2010		%6				\$223,246						3%				\$191,220
THE WILLIAM TO SEE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT			RDCOST		BLDGSF	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Control of the Control	£7 664 77E	- era7.e4		1000	-	_					

## PMIA Loan Request for Revenue Bond Programs Cashflow Statement

	- o p u.		Corrections & Re 2009/10		t Completion Date:	3/31/2013	·
		Date:		-	Completion Date:		Acquisition
	l n		\$ 3,872,000		, <b>55</b> (1, <b>p</b> ,51,5), <b>5</b>	7/30/2010	Prelim Plans
_		Disbursed to		- 1		1/29/2011	Work Draw
	unus	ום ביים ומונים ום :Date		*Per SCO Report	dated	3/31/2013	Constr <sup>(2)</sup>
Name	of B		Lease Revenue -C	<del>-</del> '			Equip
				•	·		•   •
,		(1)	(2)	(3)	· (4)	(5)	(6)
		•			Projections for		Phase of
		Month and	Original	Actual	the Next 12	Cumulative	Project
		Year	Projection	Disbursements	Months	Disbursements	(A,P,W,C,E)
Prior	yrs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				_	
	1		-	-			
	2		•	J			
	3		-	-			
	4		_	_		_	
	5		<b></b>	-		-	
	6						
	7		-	<u></u>	4	_	•
,	8			_	_	_	
	9					-	
	.10		<del>-</del>	-		-	
	11		-		4		
	12		-	-		-	
	8.0	nterest Admin.			5,000.00	5,000	-
	13	Apr-09			313,250.00	318,250	Р
	14	May-09			313,250.00	631,500	P
	15	Jun-09			313,250.00	944,750	P
	16	Jul-09			338,250.00	1,283,000	P
	17	Aug-09			338,250.00	1,621,250	Р
	18	Sep-09			338,250.00	1,959,500	. P
	19	Oct-09			313,250.00	2,272,750	Р
	20	Nov-09			336,250.00	2,609,000	Р
	21	Dec-09	•		323,250.00	2,932,250	Р
					1	—	i
	22	Jan-10			313,250.00	3,245,500	Р

<sup>(1)</sup> Rounded to nearest whole dollar

Mar-10

313,250.00

3,872,000

Ρ

<sup>(2)</sup> Any project requesting construction funds for the first time must have a completed due diligence memo submitted with loan application.

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN - CORONA (CIW) 45 BED ACUTE/INTERMEDIATE CARE MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

A/E ESTIMATE
100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

RECONCILIATION OF PRELIMINARY TO WORKING DRAWING ESTIMATE

## CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN (CIW) RECONCILIATION OF PRELIMINARY TO WORKING DRAWINGS 45-BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY

	PWB	100% FINAL	DOLLAR
	ESTIMATE	ESTIMATE	VARIANC
SITEWORK	\$9,475,991	\$7,755,358	(\$1,720,633
COST DECREASE REFLECTS ADJUSTME TO QUANTITIES AND UNIT PRICES REFL FOR THE FOLLOWING:		GN	
OFF-SITE WORK (ADDITIONAL PARKING	AREA) (\$585,946)		
MARK-UPS DECREASED BY 11.85% RESU	JLTING IN A REDUCTION	OF (\$695,695)	
ADMINISTRATION / 64-BED INTERMEDIATED FACILITY	TE \$34,137,790	\$32,777,850	(\$1,359,940
NO RECONCILIATION NECESSARY (VARIANCE LESS THAN 5%)			· · · · · ·
	•		
GUARD TOWERS (2 EACH)	\$1,234,619	\$1,112,269	(\$122,350)
COST DECREASE REFLECTS ADJUSTMEN TO QUANTITIES AND UNIT PRICES FOR THE FOLLOWING:	TS		
THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR DEMOLITION / BECAME FURTHER DEFINED THUS RESUL		F (\$13,635)	
MARK-UPS DECREASED BY 11.85% RESUL	TING IN A REDUCTION C	PF (\$64,499)	
UBTOTAL BID COST	\$44,848,400	\$41,645,477	(\$3,202,923)

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN - CORONA (CIW)
45 BED ACUTE/INTERMEDIATE CARE MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

A/E ESTIMATE
100% CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

RECONCILIATION OF A/E WORKING DRAWING ESTIMATE

### ESTIMATE RECONCILIATION SUMMARY

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT; CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: SUMMARY

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS BID PACKAGE: N/A

BLDGSF: 53,869

1/25/2010

BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010 ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

10:51 AM

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

ReconsheelCIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

D١	∕.	P	$\Box$	_	$\sim$	ш	_	

BY: J. PRECHEL	BLDG	i	COST PER	
AE ESTIMATE:	QNTY	UNIT	BUILDING	\$41,095,45
				منافق المواد المحمد
SITEWORK	1	EA	. \$7,755,358	\$7,755,35
HOUSING - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE	1	EA	\$32,777,850	\$32,777,85
QUADD TOWERS	2	EA	\$556,134	\$1,112,26
GUARD TOWERS		LA	\$330,134	Ψ1,1122,000
		;		
	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	`	
· · ·		:		
	<del>                                     </del>			
	1			
and the second s				
				•
KCEM ESTIMATE:	COST PE	ER SF:	\$773.09	\$41,645,477

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT: CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: SITE

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

BLDGSF: 53,869 BID PACKAGE: N/A

2 of 6 1/25/2010

BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

10:51 AM

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

ReconsheelCIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

	AE ESTIMATE:	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	\$7,761,94
ITEM					
1	INCREASE THE QUANTITY OF THE REMOVAL OF	10	LF	\$20.00	\$2
	(E) FORCE MAIN FROM 1,080 LF TO 1,090 LF.	-		!	
2	ADD CONCRETE BASES FOR SITE LIGHTING LUMINAIRES POLES	22	EA	\$550.00	\$12,1
. 3	REDUCE THE QUANTITY OF CONCRETE CAP OVER	(550)	LF.	\$17.50	. (\$9,6
	NORMAL POWER PRIMARY TRENCHING				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	FROM 1,350 LF TO 800 LF.				
4	REDUCE THE UNIT COST FOR THE 1,500 KVA TRANSFORMER	1	ΕA	(\$8,715.00)	(\$8,7
	FROM \$65,715 TO \$57,000.				
5	THE EMERGENCY GENERATOR INCREASED IN SIZE FROM	1	EΑ	\$30,000.00	\$30,0
	750 KW AT ICD TO 1,000 KW AT CD'S. INCREASE THE UNIT				
<del></del>	COST OF THE 1,000 KW DIESEL GENERATOR				
	FROM \$345,000 TO \$375,000.				
6	REDUCE THE QUANTITY OF CONCRETE CAP OVER	(370)	LF	\$17.50	(\$6,4
	NORMAL POWER/SIGNAL TRENCHING TO GUARD TOWERS				
	FROM 1,350 LF TO 980 LF.				
	DELETE LOW VOLTAGE CABLING FOR THE SITE	(2,200)	LF	\$85.00	(\$187,00
		(2,200);			10,10,10,
	TELECOMMUNICATIONS / DATA PORTION OF THE SITEWORK AS THIS SCOPE IS BY THE OWNER.				
	DELETE TERMINATIONS / CONNECTIONS OF LOW VOLTAGE	(8)	EA	\$2,500.00	(\$20,00
	CABLING FOR THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS/ DATA PORTION				
	OF THE WORK AS THIS SCOPE IS BY THE OWNER.				
9	ADD LABOR FOR INSTALLATION OF THE BOLLARDS.	12	EA	\$150.00	\$1,80
10	ADD CONCRETE BASES FOR SITE LIGHTING LUMINAIRES POLES	9	EA	\$550.00	\$4,95
	AT THE ADDITIONAL PARKING AREA.				
11	ADD LINE ITEM TO ESTIMATE TO REMOVE/DISPOSE	1	EA	\$450.00	\$45
	DF (E) LIGHT FIXTURE.				·
12	ADD LINE ITEM TO STOCKPILE (E) GRAVEL AT ADDITIONAL	772	CY	\$4.00	\$3,08

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT: CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: SITE

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

BLDGSF: 53,869 BID PACKAGE: N/A

BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010 ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

1/25/2010 10:51 AM

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

ReconsheelGIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

AE ESTIMATE:  PARKING AREA.  13 REMOVE (E) BOLLARDS AT ADDITIONAL PARKING AREA.  14 ADD CM/DGS TRAILER (INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATE SERVICES)  TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	0%	LS	\$300.00 \$90,000.00	\$7,761,9 \$2, \$90, (\$86,1 \$166,6 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4
13 REMOVE (E) BOLLARDS AT ADDITIONAL PARKING AREA.  14 ADD CM/DGS TRAILER (INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATE SERVICES) TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY 0.0 INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0% LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR 5.5 REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0% SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS GENERAL CONDITIONS 9.44 INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00% OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20 DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00% INSURANCE & BONDS PROM 2.31% TO 2.25% SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	1 1 00%			\$90, (\$86,1 \$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4
ADD CM/DGS TRAILER (INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATE SERVICES) TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY OLD INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0% LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0% SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS GENERAL CONDITIONS GENERAL CONDITIONS OVERHEAD & PROFIT DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00% INSURANCE & BONDS DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25% SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	1 1 00%			\$90, (\$86,1 \$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4
ADD CM/DGS TRAILER (INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATE SERVICES) TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY OLD INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0% LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0% SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS GENERAL CONDITIONS GENERAL CONDITIONS OVERHEAD & PROFIT DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00% INSURANCE & BONDS DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25% SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	1 1 00%			\$90, (\$86,1 \$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4
TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	0%	LS	\$90,000.00	\$166,8 \$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$31,3
TO THE ESTIMATE AS IT IS IN THIS SCOPE OF WORK  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	0%	LS	\$90,000.00	\$166,8 \$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$31,3
SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS	3%			\$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4
CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	3%			\$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4
CONTINGENCY  ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	3%			\$166,8 (\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4
ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS	3%			(\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4 \$31,3
ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS	3%			(\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4 \$31,3
INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3.0%  LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	3%			(\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4 \$31,3
LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR  REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO 5.0%  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS  MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS  2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	3%			(\$4,7 (\$27,8 \$47,4 \$4,4 \$31,3
MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				(\$27,£ \$47,4 \$4,4 \$31,3
MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS  9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$47,4 \$4, \$31,3
MARK-UPS  GENERAL CONDITIONS 9.44  INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$31,3
INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO.10.00%  OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$31,3
OVERHEAD & PROFIT 8.20  DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	 1%			
DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%  INSURANCE & BONDS 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	1%		1	m n n
INSURANCE & BONDS . 2.31  DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%  SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS  SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$6,8
DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25% SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				(\$13,2
SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS	%			\$1,7
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				(\$4,3
				\$26,8
				\$74,2
ESCALATION				
ESCALATION TO BID DATE (5% ANNUAL PER DOF X 6.8 MC 7.50	 %		***	\$5,5
DECREASE ESCALATION TO BID DATE FROM 7.50% TO 2.06%				(\$396,64
ESCALATION FROM BID DATE TO MIDPOINT OF CONST 0.00	/ <sub>6</sub>			
(18.8 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION)				
INCREASE ESCALATION FROM BID TO M-P OF CONSTRUCTION FRO	M ZEI	RO TO	4.17%	\$310,21
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS, MARK-UPS & ESCALATION				(\$6,59
KCEM ESTIMATE:				

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT: CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: 45 BED ACUTE/INTERMEDIATE

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

BLDGSF: 53,531 BID PACKAGE: N/A

1/25/2010

IBID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010 ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

IÚLĎ I ÁÍVÍ ReconsheelCIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

THE QUANTITY OF BUILDING HUNG CANOPY SF TO 184 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF PARAPET LADDERS CH TO 5 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF PRE-MANUFACTURED SHIPS LADDER CH TO 1 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY ROOFING PS SF TO 52,985 SF.  HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES PS SF TO 52,985 SF.	(510)	EA EA	\$60.00 \$2,400.00 \$3,500.00 \$6,50	\$4,560 \$2,400 (\$3,500 (\$3,315
THE QUANTITY OF PARAPET LADDERS  CH TO 5 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF PRE-MANUFACTURED SHIPS LADDER CH TO 1 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY:ROOFING 25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES 25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS	(1)	EA EA SF	\$2,400.00 \$3,500.00 \$6.50	\$2,400 (\$3,500 (\$3,315
CH TO 5 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF PRE-MANUFACTURED SHIPS LADDER CH TO 1 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY ROOFING 25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES 25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS	(510)	EA SF	\$3,500.00 \$6,50	(\$3,500 (\$3,315
HE QUANTITY OF PRE-MANUFACTURED SHIPS LADDER CH TO 1 EACH. HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY ROOFING PS SF TO 52,985 SF. HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES PS SF TO 52,985 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS	(510)	SF	\$6.50	(\$3,315
CH TO 1 EACH.  HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY ROOFING  25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES  25 SF TO 52,985 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS	(510)	SF	\$6.50	(\$3,31
HE QUANTITY OF SINGLE PLY:ROOFING 95 SF TO 52,985 SF. HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES 95 SF TO 52,985 SF. THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS				
DEST TO 52,985 SF.  HE QUANTITY OF ROOF ACCESSORIES  DEST TO 52,985 SF.  THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS				
THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS	(510)	SF	\$1.85	(\$944
THE QUANTITY OF OVERFLOW SCUPPERS				
	[ ]	İ	·	
	13	EA_	\$435.00	\$5,65
CH TO 20 EACH.				
IE QUANTITY OF ROOF DRAINS ACH TO 20 EACH.	(1)	EA	\$710.00	(\$710
THE UNIT COST OF ROOF DRAINS	20	EA	\$1,790.00.	\$35,800
00 EACH TO \$2,500.00 EACH.				
EM TO THE ESTIMATE FOR SPASH BLOCKS.	7	EA	\$100.00	\$700
	(72)	SF	\$125.00	(\$9,000
COSTS TO INSTALL TOILET ACCESSORIES.	1	LS	\$7,500.00	\$7,500
	459	SF	\$7.50	\$3,443
TO INSTALL FIRE EXTINGUISHERS/ CABINETS.	26	EA	\$150.00	\$3,900
	E QUANTITY OF SKYLIGHTS  F TO 198 SF.  COSTS TO INSTALL TOILET ACCESSORIES.  EM TO ESTIMATE FOR WINDOW COVERINGS ICATION SECTION 12500.  TO INSTALL FIRE EXTINGUISHERS/ CABINETS.	F TO 198 SF.  COSTS TO INSTALL TOILET ACCESSORIES. 1  EM TO ESTIMATE FOR WINDOW COVERINGS 459  ICATION SECTION 12500.	F TO 198 SF.  COSTS TO INSTALL TOILET ACCESSORIES. 1 LS  EM TO ESTIMATE FOR WINDOW COVERINGS 459 SF  ICATION SECTION 12500.	F TO 198 SF.  COSTS TO INSTALL TOILET ACCESSORIES. 1 LS \$7,500.00  EM TO ESTIMATE FOR WINDOW COVERINGS 459 SF \$7.50  ICATION SECTION 12500.

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT: CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: 45 BED ACUTE/INTERMEDIATE

PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS BID PACKAGE: N/A

BLDGSF: 53,531

1/25/2010

BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

10:51 AM

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM

RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

ReconsheetCIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

			UNIT	
AE ESTIMATE:	QTY	UNIT	PRICE	\$32,237,406
SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS				\$46,489
CONTINGENCY				
ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	0.00%	·		\$0
INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO	3.0%			\$705,023
LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	5.50%			\$2,557
. REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5%	TO 5.0%			(\$117,504)
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS			, .	\$636,565
MARK-UPS		<u> </u>	· .	
GENERAL CONDITIONS	9.48%			\$60,346
INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO 10.0	0%			\$132,309
OVERHEAD & PROFIT	8.20%			\$16,007
DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%	0			(\$3,848)
INSURANCE & BONDS	2.31%	·		\$4,790
DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%	<b>6</b> .			(\$3,603)
SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS		<del></del>		\$206,001
OUDTOTAL CONSTRUCTION CORTS & MADICALIDA				0040 505
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$842,565
ESCALATION				
ESCALATION TO BID DATE (5% ANNUAL PER DOF X 6.8 M	IC 7.50%			\$63,192
DECREASE ESCALATION TO BID DATE FROM 7.50% TO 2	.06%	<del></del>		(\$1,676,427)
ESCALATION FROM BID DATE TO MIDPOINT OF CONST	0.00%			\$0
(20 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION)				
INCREASE ESCALATION FROM BID TO M-P OF CONSTRU	CTION FROM	ZERO TO 4	.17%	\$1,311,114
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS, MARK-UPS & ESCALATI	ON			\$540,444
KCEM ESTIMATE:	<del></del>		\$612.32	\$32,777,850

RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PROJECT: CIW - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - LEED

BLDG: GUARD TOWER (ONE EACH)

CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PHASE:

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM

KCEM ESTIMATE:

BID PACKAGE: N/A BLD GSF: 169

1/25/2010 10:51 AM

\$556,134

\$3,290.73

BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010 ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

ReconsheelCIW\_45Bed CD LEEDb.xls

AE ESTIMATE:  ITEM  1 NO RECONCILIATION NECESSARY  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS  CONTINGENCY	QTY	UNIT	PRICE	\$548,049
1 NO RECONCILIATION NECESSARY  SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS				
SUBTOTAL HARD COSTS				
			1	
CONTINGENCY				\$
CONTINGENCY				<del></del>
ESTIMATING CONTINUENCY	0.00%			\$
ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY  INCREASE ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY FROM ZERO TO 3				\$11,96
LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	5.50%			\$
REDUCE LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR FROM 5.5% TO				(\$1,99
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	7 0.0 70			\$9,96
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS				
MARK-UPS				
GENERAL CONDITIONS	9.48%			
INCREASE GENERAL CONDITIONS FROM 9.48% TO 10.00%	D			\$2,24
OVERHEAD & PROFIT	8.20%			\$26
DECREASE OVERHEAD & PROFIT FROM 8.20% TO 8.00%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(\$13
INSURANCE & BONDS	2.31%			\$7
DECREASE INSURANCE & BONDS FROM 2.31% TO 2.25%				(\$7
SUBTOTAL MARK-UPS				\$3,319
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS & MARK-UPS				\$13,287
ESCALATION				
ESCALATION TO BID DATE (5% ANNUAL PER DOF X 6.8 MC	7.50%			\$997
DECREASE ESCALATION TO BID DATE FROM 7.50% TO 2.0	6%			(\$28,444
ESCALATION FROM BID DATE TO MIDPOINT OF CONST	0.00%		-	\$0
(20 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION)				
INCREASE ESCALATION FROM BID TO M-P OF CONSTRUC	TION FROM	ZERO TO	4.17%	\$22,245
SUBTOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS, MARK-UPS & ESCALATIO			I	
	N	· 		\$8,085

FRINT DATE: 1252010 PRINT TAIE: 10:55 AL PAGE 1 OF 1 CIM\_455ed\_Summan CD Recon LEEDSAIS

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION PROJECT: CIW - ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - 45 BED EXPANSION - LEED

BUILDING: SUMMARY
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
PREPARED BY: ENGLER ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT INTL

BY: J, MORENO RECONCILED BY: KCEM, J, PRECHEL

BID PACKAGE: NIA

L ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009
RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010
BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

SUMMARY

E iv		_			S	EM.)			1	4 4								
53.531	BUILDING	NO. OF UNITS	SF PER UNIT	TOTAL	COST PER UNIT	TOTAL	COST/		TOTAL	COST PER UNIT	TOTAL	CosT/	NO. O	SEVIOU SF PER	S (ENGLE TOTAL	ER ASSESSMI COST	ENT MGMT, INT TOTAL	را. د ST/
2 159 33.531 53.777.850 5512.32 0 0 5540.444 5540.442 1 53.531 53.237.405 532.237.405 53.531					\$7.755,358	87,755						0		INO		PER UNIT	COST	π. L
53,531   5	NG - 45 BED ACTITED/NEGROEP							<u>:</u>	· : :	(886.05)	(685.589)					57,761,947	57,761,947	
T BID PACKAGE 51.869 338 SS56.134 \$1.112.269 \$3.290.73 0 0 \$80.065 \$16,171 \$47.84 2 169 \$1.096,098	FACILITY		53,531	53,531	\$32,777,850	\$32,777,850	\$612.32			\$540,444	\$540,444	\$10.10		53,531	53,531	\$32,237,406	532,237,406	\$602.22
\$1,096,088 \$16,171 \$47,84 \$2 169 \$38 \$5946,049 \$1,096,088 \$33,669 \$33,	TOWERS	7	69	338		12 200	600	:										
53,863 \$41,645,477 \$773.09 \$						20777	43,480.73	5-:-		\$8,085	\$16,171	547.84	-27	69	338	S54E;D49	\$1,095,098	53.242.89
53,863 \$31,645,477 \$773.09 \$						*					٠.							
53,863 \$41,645,477 \$773.09 \$550,025 \$55							•	· 									.,,	•
53,869 541,645,477 \$773.09 00000000000000000000000000000000000	TO SYON GIG TOOO							Sales Comments	10000000	State of the state of		3						
53,859	AL COST BID PACKAGE			53,869		\$41,645,477	\$773.09	-	-					-  -			-	
	•							The state of the state of	10 mg, 100		\$550,026	\$10.21	·••		53,859		541 095 451	ATES BR

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILIATION

PROJECT CIW - ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - 45 BED EXPANSION - LEED

BUILDING TOTAL PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM

BY: J. PRECHEL

BID PACKAGE: N/A ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009 RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

53,869 GROSS SF

53,869

PRINT DATE 125/2010 PRINT TIME: 1049 AM PAGE 107 B AMARK-UP COMPARIÇON SHE 5T-45 BED CMV\_CD LEEDWMS

GROSS SI BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

\$ 1 GENT COST CCST \$ 5859.22   CST \$ 5859.22		KCEM CD RECONCILIATION	ONCILIATION	VARIANGE	NII	ENGLER	
Transport	RADE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION			UNIT		TO fAL	
TOR 5.00% SB55.759 S55.428 S55				QTKQOST	UNIT	CCST	\$ / GSF
100R   1000W	I O TAL SUBCONTRACT COST	-				\$25,898,932	\$555 03
TOR   S.00%						100000000000000000000000000000000000000	2000
100   100	ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	3.00%	927 7092				%
10,000%   10,0	LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	1000	00.000	800.4000	1	SO	0.00000%
STATION   STATIAN   STATION   STATIAN   STATION   STATIAN   STATION   STATION   STATION   STATION   STATION   STATIAN   STATION   STATION   STATIAN   STATION   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STATIAN   STAT	VICTOR AND	9.00.c	\$1,492,930	(\$151.511)		51,644,441	5.50000%
10,00%   \$3,224,728   \$6,00%   \$5,601,728   \$6,00%   \$7,601,728   \$6,00%   \$7,601,728   \$7,601	SOB LOTAL		\$32,247,282	\$703 603		\$31,543.373	
10,00%   1							
Section   Sect	GENERAL CONDITIONS	.10.00%	\$3,224,728	835		2080 803	Setable D
E & BONDS	OVERHEAD & PROFIT	8.00%	\$2,837,761	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		000.000.70	7 200 7 7 7
Second   S	INSURANCE & BONDS	2000			-	97,158,28	8.20000%
ECONOMY FACTOR         \$30,171,741		2.25%	\$861,970	1195.143)	2.50%	3863,331	2.31053%
ECONOMY FACTOR         S00         \$171,7241         SSS 171,7241         SSS 1228,325							
DF x 4.95 MONTHS) : 2.06% \$807.917 \$6.000% \$1.000% \$2.	14101000	en el consecuent mandon el especial est de description de médit de de de manuel desde que especial	\$39,171,741	S013 415		\$38,228,325	
DF x 4.95 MONTHS) : 2.06% \$807,917 \$0.00% \$0							
DF x 4.95 MONTHS) : 2.06% \$807,917 \$50.00% \$50	SCALE OF ECONOMY FACTOR	. 0.00%	0\$	G	<del> </del>	ě	
DF x 4.95 MONTHS)     CONST (5% x 9.4 MO)     \$1,065,819     \$2,067,125       CONST (5% x 9.4 MO)     \$1,665,819     \$373,099       ************************************	MARKET FACTOR	/800.0			1	0,9	
DF x 4.95 MONTHS) : 2.06% \$807,917	100 married processing and processing of the control of the contro	. ]	0\$	O es		\$0	
CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$1,565,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819 \$51,666,819	ESCALATION TO BID (5% ANNUAL PER DOF x 4.95 MONT		\$807,917	(\$2.659.208)	7.50%	\$2 867 125	7.50000%
CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$1,666,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819 \$51,066,819	ASSUMED BID 5/20/2010						
CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) , 4.17%							
CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$1,665,819 \$54,656,819 \$54,656,819 \$54,656,819 \$54,656,819 \$573.09	SUBTOTAL		\$39,979,658				
CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$1,665,819 Sq.(656,819							
\$41,645,477 \$773.09 Seffingly ser not 414	ESCALATION FROM BID TO MIDPOINT OF CONST (5% x	MO)	\$1,665,819	0.5.2.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.			
\$41.645.477 \$773.09 Section 44.1	18.8 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION						
\$41,645,477 \$773.09   Section   Sect		-					
	TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING		\$41,645,477	\$773.09 5550.026		\$4095.451	\$762.88

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILIATION

PROJECT CIW - ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - 45 BED EXPANSION - LEED BUILDING SITEWORK
PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS
PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM
ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009
BY: J. PRECHEL

ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009 RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010

PRINT DATE 17232010 PRINT TINE. 10 49 AM PACEZ OF 4 WARK-UP COMPARISCIN SHEET-45 BED CML\_CD LEEDB-NS.

53,531

GROSS SF

53.869		F00000017750007804040407800000000000000000000000	は、コンプログランドでは、「「「「「」」では、「「」」では、「「」」では、「」」では、「」では、「」
GROSS SF	BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010	KCEM CD RECONCILIATION	UNIT TOTAL

	1	\$ / GSF	\$105.49	%	0.00%	5 50%				9 6	5 2462	2.5						7.50%							5145 00
m.			\$5,647,193		os	\$310 508	64 047 780 P	50,100		5004,719	4163 063			\$7,220,417		80	0.8	\$541,531				,			1.40
ENGLER	UNIT COST	11	-	FIXED	LL.	Щ	-	-		- 1				-	,			%							
	. YTO	-	- -	PER EST	0.00%	5.00%			10 00%	R 00%	2.50%				1	0.00%	0,00%	7.50%							
CILIATION V.A.R.T.A.N.C.E	101AL COST \$16SF @TY. 60ST 10HAL		L'ED GOOT		\$166.811	\$278,018	\$6,005,195		\$600,520		(\$2.544)		\$7,294,691		6	0.9		\$150,453		57,445,144		\$310,214			\$7,755,358 \$143.97
NOEW CD RECONCILIATION	UNIT COST		_	3 000%	2000	3.00%			10.00%	8.00%	2.25%		10.0		%00.0	7,000	79000	2,0078			+-	4, 17%		-	
	TRADE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION QTY.	101AL SUBCONTRACT COST	The state of the s	ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	SIBTOTAL			GENERAL CONDITIONS	OVERHEAD & PROFIT			SUBJECT OF ALL		SCALE OF ECONOMY FACTOR	MARKET FACTOR	ESCALATION TO BID (5% ANNUAL PER DOF x 4 95 MONTHS)	ASSUMED BID 5/20/2010	SUBTOTAL		ESCALATION FROM BID TO MIDPOINT OF CONST 1600, 2011 AND 1000 SOURCE STATES AND 1000 SOURCE	18.8 MONTH CONSTRUCTION OUTDAINS	NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE	Cura ilia ana Xva dia NO IATOT	יייי אין דייי אין דייי אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין

\$602.22

\$32,237,406.

\$612.32

\$32,777,850

TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING

## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILIATION

PROJECT.CIW - ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - 45 BED EXPANSION - LEED BUILDING HOUSING - 45 BED ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM

BY: J. PRECHEL

BID PACKAGE: N/A
ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009
RECONCILATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010
BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

GROSS SF

53,531

53,531

GROS S SF

PRINT DATE 1755/2010
PRINT TIME 10 49 AM MARK-UF COLIPARISON SHE ST.45 BED CW, TO LEEDDANS

		×	KCEM CD RECONCILIATION	NCILIATION		.u. C. ⊡. ≮ 1. a. ≮ ./\				1 0 1 1		
			TINO	TOTAI		上	j			מאלו האלו	1	
TRADE SYSTE	TRADE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION		UNIT COST		\$ / GSF	TACC ALC	TOTOT	) }	TIMIT	INO Fact		-
	TOTAL SUBCONTRACT COST	-		н	· 41- · ·	:    :				1000	1603	2
		- -	- -	\$43,500,764 \$4	\$438.01		\$45,439;	•			\$23,454,275	\$438
								PER EST	ACTUAL	FIXED.		%
	ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	6	3.00%	\$705,023			\$705.023	0.00%	1		OS	٦
	LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR		2.00%	\$1,175,038			15112 0471	5 00%		u	61 280 085	ď
	SUBTOTAL			\$25,380,825			\$636.565	1			21,202,303	1
	GENERAL CONDITIONS	7	10.00%	\$2,538,083			\$192.655	10.00%		L	82.345.428	0
	OVERHEAD & PROFIT	. ω	8.00%	\$2,233,513				8.00%			\$2 221 354	ί α
	INSURANCE & BONDS	CA.	2.25%	\$678,429			91.18	2.50%		<u>. u.</u>	\$677,242	. 4
										<u></u>		
-	SUBTOTAL			\$30,830,850			\$842,555				\$29,968,284	
	SCALE OF ECONOMY FACTOR	7	0.00%	0\$			08	0.00%			. 0\$	'
	MARKET FACTOR	-	0.00%	0\$			ę,	0.00%			80	
,	ESCALATION TO BID (5% ANNUAL PER DOF x 4.95 MONTHS)		2.06%	\$635,886			(\$1,513,235)	7.50%		%	\$2.249.121	-
	ASSUMED BID 5/20/2010											
	SUBTOTAL			\$31,466,736								
	ESCALATION FROM BID TO MIDPOINT OF CONST (5% x 9.4 MO)	7	4.17%	\$1,311,114			\$1,311,114					
	18.8 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION								,			
		_					The state of the state of the state of	-				

8.20%

9.48%

0.00%

7.50%

# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILIATION

PROJECT CIW - ACUTE / INTERMEDIATE CARE FACILITY - 45 BED EXPANSION - LEED

BUILDING GUARD TOWERS (1 EACH INCLUDED) PHASE: CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

PREPARED BY: KITCHELL CEM BY: J. PRECHEL

BID PACKAGE: N/A

ESTIMATE DATE: DECEMBER 22, 2009

GROSS SF RECONCILIATION DATE: JANUARY 25, 2010 BID DATE: APRIL 29, 2010

169

TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING   STATE OF TOTAL ON STATE OF		Ju do Mary	TONOL IN THE STATE OF THE STATE						
Color   Colo			JOINCILIA HON	VARIANCE			ENGLE	۲.	
TOR 5.00% \$19.937 \$2.389.38 \$60 \$60 \$1.00% \$19.937 \$2.389.38 \$60 \$2.00% \$19.937 \$2.389.38 \$2.00% \$19.937 \$2.389.39 \$2.00%	TRADE SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	UNIT		TIND	11111111		UNIT	TOTAL	1
S. DOUNTINGEWOY         S. DOWN         \$ 11,982         \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 11,982         \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	TOTAL SUBCONTRACT COST		732				-	1803	\$ / GSF
STONINGENCY   S.00%   S11882   S100%   F   S1030   S1090   S10937   S1093							FIXED	201,0804	92,539,35
SOUCTIVITY FACTOR   S.100%   S.1000%   S.100	ESTIMATING CONTINGENCY	3.00%	\$11,962	0.1	1	1		6	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
CONDITIONS         \$43.0631         \$59.989         \$51.0502         \$43.0632           6. PROFIT         2.2576         \$11.511         \$33.164         <	LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY FACTOR	. 5.00%	\$19,937		1			08	0.00%
ACTOR 0.00% \$43.063 \$51.60 \$10.00% F \$51.00 F \$5	SUBTOTAL		\$430,631	2 00	1			521,930	5.50%
10.00%   \$43.063   \$55.196   10.00%   \$57.764   \$537.							-	3420,025	
FACTOR 522.40	GENERAL CONDITIONS	10.00%	\$43,063	66	<u>:                                      </u>			630 872	7007
E& BONDS         2.25%         \$11,511         \$21,1513         \$21,1513           S\$223,100         \$523,100         \$11,513         \$509,812           ECONOMY FACTOR         0.00%         \$0         \$0         \$0           ACTOR         \$10,789         \$10,789         \$10,789         \$10         \$10           BID \$120,2010         \$10         \$22,245 <td>OVERHEAD &amp; PROFIT</td> <td>8.00%</td> <td>\$37,895</td> <td>46</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. u</td> <td>0.00.00</td> <td>207.0</td>	OVERHEAD & PROFIT	8.00%	\$37,895	46			. u	0.00.00	207.0
ECONOMY FACTOR         \$552,100         \$1,513           ECONOMY FACTOR         \$500,00%         \$0           ACTOR         \$10,00%         \$10,789           ACTOR         \$10,00%         \$10,789           SID 5120/20.10         \$10,00%         \$10,789           BID 5120/20.10         \$52,245           CONSTRUCTION DURATION         \$22,245           N BID DAY PER BUILDING         \$552,245	INSURANCE & BONDS	2.25%	\$11.511		,			FO 1° 100	0.20%
ECONOMY FACTOR         \$523,100         \$523,100         \$50,00%					1			\$11,513	2.31%
ECONOMY FACTOR         So on Section         \$500.812           ACTOR         \$0         0.00%         \$0         0.00%         \$0           ACTOR         \$0         \$0         0.00%         \$0         \$0         \$0         \$0           AN TO BID (5% ANNUAL PER DOF x 4.95 MONTHS)         2.06%         \$10,789         \$6         \$0	SUBTOTAL		and a second of the second sec						
AL PER DOF x 4.95 MONTHS) 2.06% \$0 0.00		-	\$523,100	\$13	287.:			\$509,812	
AL PER DOF x 4.95 MONTHS) 2.06% \$0 0.00			and the same of th						
0.00%   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0	SCALE OF ECONOMY FACTOR	0.00%	0\$		1_				
2.06%   \$10.789   \$535,447   7.50%   \$5 \$38,236   \$10.789   \$10.	MARKET FACTOR	0.00%	US		1			P .	
AO) 4.17% \$22,245 \$553.0073	ESCALATION TO BID (5% ANNI IAI PER DOR y 4 95 MIONTH	T						20	
DF CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$22.245 \$553,889		T	687'DI \$	(527)	, ,		3%	\$38,236	7.50%
F CONST (5% x 9.4 MO) 4.17% \$22.245 \$222.245	Assumed BIO sizorzo10								
N S522.245 S522.245 S522.245 S525.245 S						:			
N S522,245 S522,245 S522,245 S522,245 S522,245 S526,134 S1 290 73			\$533,889						
N	ESCALATION FROM BID TO MIDPOINT OF CONST (5% x 9.	MO) 4.17%	\$22,245	608	1986				
\$656.134 \$3.200.73	18.8 MONTH CONSTRUCTION DURATION		AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF				İ		
\$656.13d \$3 201 74 (00000000000000000000000000000000000									
	TOTAL ON BID DAY PER BUILDING		\$556.134   \$3.2		5. 14. 10. 10. 10. 10.			0.00	0 0

169

GROSS SF

PRINT DATE, 125/2010
PRINTTHAE TO SE ALM
PAGE 4 OF 4
PAGE 4 OF 4

\$548,049 \$3,242.89

### CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN - CORONA (CIW) 45 BED ACUTE/INTERMEDIATE CARE MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY

### MILESTONE SCHEDULE

EVENT	DATE
Plans & Specifications Out to Bid	March 18, 2010
Pre-Bid Meeting	April 1, 2010
Bid Opening	April 29, 2010
Award/NTP	May 20, 2010

BID OPENING FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATIONS, NEW WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT AT THE DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION, TRACY, CA. HELD ON JUNE 21, 2007 IN SACRAMENTO, CA.

STATE'S ESTIMATE: \$32.5 MILLION

BIDDER	BID AMOUNT	SMALL BUSINESS PREFERENCE	BIDDER'S SECURITY
		Yes/No	Bond
RGW Construction	\$26,967,471.00	No.	Bond
P.O. Box 2910		`	
Livermore, CA 94551-2910			
Overaa & Co.	\$27,970,000.00	No	Bond
200 Parr Blvd			
Richmond, CA 94801			
C.W. Roen Construction Co.	\$29,850,000.00	No	Bond
P.O. Box 4			
Dabyukkem CA 94526			
			A COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE
		· .	
			`
		·	

## **SCOPE CHANGES**

### **SCOPE CHANGES**

The SPWB process has a strong focus on ensuring that the project ultimately constructed is the same project that was initially authorized. However, at times it becomes apparent during design or construction that the scope of the project must be changed to successfully complete the project. Substantial scope changes must be reported to the Legislature and recognized by the SPWB.

The county shall first notify CSA of any potential scope changes. These will be reviewed to determine if they are allowable pursuant to the applicable RFP and CSA regulations, and whether CSA Board action is required. Additionally, all changes to the scope established by the SPWB require CDCR review, Finance approval and a SPWB agenda item. The approval must be granted through SPWB before any expenditures can be made to redesign the project or revise the plans. After Finance approves a scope change and 20 days after the Legislature has been notified, SPWB "recognizes" the scope change as a board item at the meeting, incorporating the scope change into the board's official record for the project.

Substantial Scope Change: Finance determines if a proposed change is substantial. A substantial scope change is based on a review of the facts on a case-by-case basis. The State Administrative Manual, Section 6863 provides additional discussion of scope changes, see link: http://sam.dgs.ca.gov/TOC/6000/6863.htm.

A county is required to report any proposed project change as outlined in the following text first to CSA and then CDCR FASS Division who will assess whether the change meets the definition of *substantial*, in consultation with Finance.

- 1. Changes to the **approved program use**, as defined by:
  - a. Assigned program space for facilities. Changes which would add space for a new function, increase capacity for a program activity, use space for a function or activity different than originally intended, or alter the ratios in multi-use space, are reportable for evaluation as a *potential* scope change.

In this context, *program* is defined at the *activity* level.

- 2. Changes to the **physical characteristics of the real asset** as it relates to:
  - a. Facility size, shape, major structural characteristics, and location.
    - 1. Size generally may be described in either gross square feet or assignable square feet. For some projects, such as sewer, electrical or HVAC, size may be a function of capacity.
    - 2. Shape is a function of both the *footprint* and elevation of a structure. Footprint includes the interior arrangement as well as the facility perimeter.

- 3. Major structural characteristics will vary by project, but may include the structural system, architectural style, construction materials, and major mechanical, electrical or utility systems.
- 4. Location may be as specific as parcel number, or more generally city, county or region, depending upon initial definition.
- b. Any other expectations or restrictions regarding the physical characteristics of the real asset.

Changes which meet the reporting requirements, as described in the preceding text, are not necessarily scope changes requiring SPWB approval unless the CSA, the CDCR and ultimately Finance so determines. Reasonable judgment is required; however, because the consequences of unapproved scope changes can be severe (including project termination), counties should err on the side of caution.

### Generally, the following project changes are not reportable (as potential scope changes):

- 1. **Cost**, although the impact of a scope change on a project and related operational costs must be carefully considered.
- 2. Changes to correct minor errors and omissions in the construction documents or to respond to minor unforeseen site conditions (i.e. substitution of fixtures when products are no longer manufactured, corrections required by code authorities, minor demolition of materials not foreseen during design, addition of electric services to motors, etc.). However, when such changes cannot reasonably be categorized as minor, or if they alter programmatic capability or requirements, the matter must be discussed with CSA/CDCR to assess impact on project scope.

## **ACRONYMS**

### **ACRONYMS**

A&E Architecture and Engineering

AB 900 Assembly Bill 900 (Chapter 7, Statutes of 2007)

AMB Asset Management Branch

BL Budget Letter

CCCI California Construction Cost Index

CDCR California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

CEQA California Environmental Quality Act

CSA Corrections Standards Authority
DGS Department of General Services

DVBE Disabled Veteran Business Enterprises

FASS Finance, Administration and Support Services

Finance Department of Finance

HVAC Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning

PDCA Project Delivery and Construction Agreement

PMIB Pooled Money Investment Board

RFP Request for Proposal

SB 81 Senate Bill 81 (Chapter 175, Statutes of 2007)

SPWB State Public Works Board

## GENERAL DEFINITIONS

### **GENERAL DEFINITIONS**

- "Capital Asset" is defined as a completed tangible physical property that has beneficial use and occupancy with an "expected useful life of 10 to 15 years" (Government Code Section 16727) or for the life of the bonds.
- "Cash Match (hard)" means cash dedicated to the project by the participating county for eligible expenditures as identified in the RFP and as listed in the state/county funding agreement.
- "Concept Drawings" means any schematic drawings or architectural renderings that are prepared, in addition to performance criteria, in such detail as the county determines necessary to sufficiently describe the county's needs.
- "Construction" means the building of the CSA approved project by the successful bidder/contractor. Construction generally begins with site preparation/excavation and ends with the completion of the project and acceptance by the county.
- "Construction Bidding" means the county receiving and accepting a bid to complete the project.
- "Construction Documents" means architectural plans and specifications that are 100 percent complete and generally include: completed specifications, with bid proposal documents; completed construction documents; and special interest items (any corrections, modifications or additions made to the documents). This term correlates with the SPWB term "Working Drawings".
- "Construction Management" means a specialized, multidisciplinary function provided by a firm or individual acting as the county's representative with the responsibility to guide the county and eliminate risk through all phases of delivery of the construction project. Cash Match can be claimed for construction management only by a firm or individual (contract or consultant) outside the regular county workforce. In-Kind Match can be claimed for construction management provided by regular employees of the county workforce.
- "County Project" means a project that has received a conditional award from the CSA for projects that will be financed through the AB 900 Jail Financing Program or the SB 81 Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Financing Program.
- "County Project Proposal" means the proposal form that was submitted to CSA in response to the respective RFP released December 2007 or July 2009 describing the county's construction project.
- **"CSA Construction Agreement"** refers to the CSA Jail Construction Agreement for AB 900 county projects and CSA Local Youthful Offender Rehabilitative Facility Construction Agreement for SB 81 county projects.

- "Design-Build" means a construction procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.
- "Design-Build Entity" means a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that is able to provide appropriately licensed contracting, architectural, and engineering services as needed.
- "Design-Build Phase" means the period following the award of a contract to a design-build entity in which the design-build entity completes the design and construction activities necessary to fully complete the project in compliance with the terms of the contract.
- "Design-Build Project" means a capital outlay project using the design-build construction procurement process.
- "Design-Build Solicitation Package" means the performance criteria, any concept drawings deemed necessary by the county, the form of contract, and all other documents and information that serve as the basis on which bids or proposals will be solicited from the design-build entities.
- "Design Development" means architectural plans and specifications that are 50 percent complete and generally include: outline specifications (detention hardware, equipment and furnishings); floor plans (to scale with dimensions, room designation, references, wall types and ratings); building sections (heights and dimensions); interior elevations; and preliminary structural, mechanical and electrical drawings. This term correlates with the SPWB term "Preliminary Plans".
- "Due Diligence Memo" is a memo to Finance from DGS after DGS has reviewed all the documents in the Due Diligence Package and either has found the property with no impediments to future lease revenue bond financing, or has identified minor impediments that can be resolved by the county prior to approval of the ground lease.
- "Due Diligence Package" is a documentation package for the real property which includes preliminary report, appraisal of the proposed project site, records search, unrecorded rights certification letters, title exceptions map, legal descriptions, and plat map.
- "In-Kind Match (soft)" means the value of personnel, land, or services dedicated to the project by the applicant for eligible expenditures as identified in the RFP and as listed in the PDCA.
- "Performance Criteria" means the information that fully describes the scope of the proposed project and includes, but is not limited to, the size, type, and design character of the buildings and site; the required form, fit, function, operational requirements, and quality of design, materials, equipment, and workmanship; and any other information deemed necessary to sufficiently describe the county's needs.
- "Preliminary Plans" (also known as Design Development) means architectural plans and specifications that are 50 percent complete and generally include: outline specifications (detention hardware, equipment and furnishings); floor plans (to scale with dimensions, room designation, references, wall types and ratings); building sections (heights and dimensions); interior elevations; and preliminary structural, mechanical and electrical drawings.

- "Project Cost Summary" (3-page estimate) is the document that includes all costs for the fully-scoped project (as determined collaboratively with the state) as defined by the project's legal description. Please note that the costs reflected on the 3-page estimate may differ from the costs identified in the project proposal submitted to CSA, the 3-page estimate costs will correspond to the SPWB defined project scope.
- **"Schematic Design"** means architectural plans and specifications that are 30 percent complete and generally include: a site plan; floor plan with identification of rooms; exterior elevations and cross sections; type of construction; and actual gross floor area.
- **"SPWB Project Scope"** means both the description of the county's project proposal that was approved by the CSA Board and the more detailed project description approved by the SPWB.
- **"Working Drawings"** (also known as "Construction Documents") means architectural plans and specifications that are 100 percent complete and generally include: completed specifications, with bid proposal documents; completed construction documents; and special interest items (any corrections, modifications or additions made to the documents).